

## Dublin Brexit negotiations

Professor Anthony Coughlan

A consensus assessment on the current EU/UK negotiations by a group of Irish lawyers and economists who are sympathetic to Brexit has been convened and resulted in the following outcome.

We can now begin to see the outline of what might happen in the UK/EU negotiations. We see events unfolding broadly as follows:-

(a) The UK and the EU reach an agreement (including about the divorce bill) that gives the UK access to the single market while allowing the UK to leave the customs union and the jurisdiction of the ECJ and to control its borders regarding free movement of people... NO CHANCE.

(b) The talks break down and are abandoned with the UK and the EU going their separate ways next March ... UNLIKELY

(c) Agreement is reached at a Heads of Government summit in early 2019 that meets the UK's basic requirements, including about the divorce bill and access to the single market but involves free movement of people continuing in practice if not in theory ... UNLIKELY

(d) The House of Commons overrules the Brexit vote and the UK abandons Brexit ... UNLIKELY BUT POSSIBLE

(e) - (1) Following the refusal of the House of Commons to overturn the Brexit vote, there is a second summit in Brussels and agreement is reached broadly along the lines of (c) ... UNLIKELY

(e) - (2) Following the refusal of the House of Commons to overturn the

Brexit vote, the UK accepts tough terms in a bitter summit that restores the UK's independence but on economic terms that are difficult and that will require the British to dig deep to swallow .... LIKELY

We do not believe that (c) will be the outcome as the EU is banking on (d), as is Irish Taoiseach Leo Varadkar and the Irish Establishment generally, so it would be irrational of them to make any concessions ahead of (e) night.

It is not in the interest of the EU Commission negotiating team to concede anything until (d) is tested to destruction in the House of Commons and elsewhere. If (d) is indeed the outcome it will be a great victory for the Euro-federalists/career federalists but the political situation in Britain will become highly unstable.

Scenario (d) has become more likely as Jeremy Corbyn and his colleagues have sniffed the possibility that Labour might get into office following a general election if Mrs May's Government can be defeated on Brexit in the House of Commons

The Remainder interest in the UK is increasingly determined to reverse Brexit. Tony Blair's involvement was to be expected but one would have expected John Major, as someone who said "No" to the euro, to support an agreed UK position based on the democratic decision of the British people in the 2016 referendum. One would have expected him to recognise that the EU is not as strong as it believes itself, and portrays itself, to be and that a united United Kingdom

could secure an adequate deal. However, for whatever reason (perhaps City pressure) he has joined the Remainder interest.

Clearly, behind the scenes, the British Establishment, notably the City but also the media, has been bringing very, very heavy pressure to bear on decision-makers to abandon Brexit. The British Government is reluctant to have a second referendum - such a proposal might get through Parliament but would split the Tory party probably permanently, but that is less important to the Remainder interest than reversing Brexit.

They are reluctant not only because they might lose a second referendum but because it would put Britain into the same category as Ireland so far as EU bullying is concerned.

Their second option would be a general election but that could return Corbyn. So every effort will be made to reverse Brexit through the House of Commons. That makes Corbyn the pivotal figure in the coming period. It is also the reason why in Ireland Sinn Fein is coming under pressure from the Irish Establishment to reverse its abstentionist policy and attend at Westminster and vote against Mrs May and the DUP on Brexit.

UK democrats who accept the British people's referendum vote need to know who the key players are in the drive to reverse Brexit. They are the European Commission, the Irish Government and Establishment, and the British Remainders.

The Commission opposes Brexit

Continued on page 2

# Dublin Brexit negotiations

Continued from page 1

because it could well mean the end of the ... EU Commission. The Irish Government and Establishment oppose it because it throws into sharp relief the decision of the Irish State to reject two solemn constitutional referenda on EU issues and Irish policy at present is being made entirely by career federalists. And the British Remainers oppose Brexit because some of them have lost their nerve while others have contempt for democracy.

Brexiters need to be clear and blunt about who is trying to reverse Brexit and why. It may get rough and nasty but they have no other choice. They need to ask why people like John Major have failed to see how weak the EU is and why some Remainers, who are democrats but who have lost their nerve, have failed to see that, other than the three groups trying to reverse Brexit, the rest of the EU – namely the Governments of the Member States apart from Ireland and the media and opinion former in those Member States - have accepted Brexit and would be quite happy to see a reasonable deal being done.

It is our view that it will only be after D-Day has passed next March and Brexit has legally proceeded - unless it has been reversed by the House of Commons before then - that a UK/EU deal will be done.

At some point, the Member States on the Continent are bound to call time on the Ireland issue, whose significance has been grossly exaggerated. Their embassies in Dublin will be telling them that North/South trade within Ireland is tiny by comparison with Republic/British trade and minute in comparison with

EU trade as a whole.

Their Dublin embassies will also be telling them that the UK's proposal to treat most Irish cross-border North-South trade, which is small and local, as something essentially to be finessed by trusted-trader and associated arrangements, makes every sense.

Their embassies will also be telling them that the British and Irish Governments should do a deal on cross-border trade so that it is taken off the table as a problem. Such a deal could be done.

Their embassies will be telling them that the Northern Ireland is, increasingly obviously, being used in an attempt to reverse Brexit and that the Continental Member States should not stand for that any longer.

All the weeping and gnashing of teeth by the Irish Establishment about how incompetent the British supposedly are in the negotiations is just another way of saying, as Peter Sutherland said the day after the UK referendum, that Brexit must be overturned.

The Irish Establishment is so saturated in europhilia that it refuses to face up to the fact that the Republic and its people would be much better off if they left the EU along with the UK - thereby instantly removing any North-South Border problems. While numerous studies have been commissioned on the bad effects of Brexit on Ireland if the UK leaves the EU while the Republic remains in it, it is a startling fact that not a single study has been made of the pros and cons of the Republic leaving the EU alongside the UK, apart from that mentioned below.

What matters now is that Brexit

goes ahead legally next March, and not the detailed terms for the post-Brexit period, which will be open to evolution anyway. One might recall the Anglo-Irish Treaty of 1921, which was regarded as quite limited at the time but which the Irish Free State built on and went on to establish complete Irish independence.

Once the UK is out of the EU, trade will continue as traders like to trade, have always traded and always will. Far too much emphasis is being placed on the details of the post-Brexit trade agreement because that is what bureaucrats and journalists know about. They know very little about trade.

Although the EU is much weaker as an entity than most people believe it to be, or portray it as - a weakness which the European Commission has been exploiting in the negotiations so far - the Continental Member States are more than strong enough collectively to assert themselves and overrule the Commission/Irish Government Axis that has been running the show, on the EU side, since the negotiations began - if and when they come to a realisation that THEIR interests require them to do that.

Source: The group of Irish lawyers and economists who are responsible for this statement produced a Private Study Paper last year, "Why Brexit should be accompanied by Irexit (Ireland Exit)".

Further details can be obtained from Anthony Coughlan, Director The National Platform at [jcoughln@tcd.ie](mailto:jcoughln@tcd.ie). He is also Associate Professor Emeritus in Social Policy, Trinity College Dublin.

## The European Commission wants more power

The European Commission is considering a way to lock EU funding to member states obedience to EU rules and obligations. Under the new idea the EU could suspend, reduce or stop payments and or commitments

until they were satisfied that all rules and obligations are being carried out correctly. They are especially concerned with the way Poland and Hungary are behaving over border restrictions amongst other things.

Many member states interpret these rules and obligation in rather loose ways. Should the Commission gets its way over these proposals the member states ability to rule their own nations will be even further restricted.

# Failure of the Common Fisheries Policy

*Extract of an article by A.B. Njodr for Save Britain's Fish*

A news report from 1991, has shown that the fundamentally flawed EU Common Fisheries Policy (CFP) was the cause of over-fishing and bad management.

These failures resulted in decommissioning schemes which scrapped 60% of the British fleet, ripping the heart out of communities.

The failing CFP has driven continual cuts and a scramble to survive with continual consolidation to last man standing.

Family community fishing is driven out of a livelihood and ever increasing monies are spent leasing and buying quota to remain stagnant and survive – it is a circus that fails fish and fishermen.

Contrary to Europhiles the EU did not save stocks but caused the problems which it still fails to address.

The EU caused overfishing through “equal access to a common resource” which stopped Britain exercising her rights to manage her waters and address increasing vessel technology and power.

The EU gave grants to build more powerful boats and incentivised overfishing with minimum market prices which stopped market gluts and low prices halting over supply.

The EUs caused a problem and then implemented Quotas which DO NOT work in mixed fisheries ....

Fishermen cannot determine what mix of species they catch. Quotas led to illegal landings or mass discarding. Quotas forced fishermen to OVERFISH and catch MORE than necessary to find what they could keep.

Quotas caused inaccurate science and exacerbated the fleet over-capacity caused by the EU. To add insult to injury when quotas were set under EU ‘relative stability shares’ the UK only received 25% of the resources although British waters contributed half the seas and catches.

As the system failed the EU heaped on more rules and bureaucracy to make

a bad system work.

This deprivation of our own management and resources coupled with EU funded over capacity and a failed quota system is what killed over half the British fleet.

Some say this is accidental others think it a deliberate series of pretexts to cull the British fleet to make way for an EU fleet ruled by an EU policy.

Not only do EU member states directly take 60% of the resources from British waters under ‘relative stability shares’ but EU ‘freedom of establishment’ laws allowed EU owned but UK registered flagship companies to buy out family owned British fishermen struggling under EU rules.

These EU flagships now own around HALF of the 40% slither of our own fish the UK receives as part of the EU heir legal challenge in the Factortame case overturned and forced the government to allow this to happen, proving the British parliament and courts no longer sovereign.

**EVEN WORSE!!** The incoming EU 2019 discard ban addresses the discard symptom not the quota cause. As of 2019 when vessels exhaust their meagrest quota they must stop fishing and tie up for the rest of the year.

This final drive into a 30 year cull-de-sac of failed management will ruin around another 60% of what's left of the British fleet.

This is why British fishing cannot be trapped in a transition. Why we must escape the failed CFP ASAP.

It is why post-Brexit the government cannot replicate the same failed EU quota policies to appease vested interests now a minority of powerful vested interests running some fishermen's federations who wish to keep the failed system and last man standing due to investment driven made in it to survive.

We must have new mixed fishery management based on limiting time at sea in exchange for allowing fishermen to land and record everything they

catch in a time at sea/quota hybrid.

This would result in catching less but landing more and generate accurate stock data and management response – currently we are forced to do the opposite of all these.

In addition to this the government should retain the British FQA entitlement system to retain the investment vessel were driven to make in order to survive. These FQAs should be converted from being entitlement to arbitrary weight-based quotas that force fishermen to discard to Flexible Catch Compositions (FCCs) that set percentages of species fishermen should aim to catch.

If fishermen exceed their FCCs which are based on their track record of catches and investment then the don't need to discard or tie up but can swap a value of time equivalent to the value of the “wrong” fish caught.

This means there is no financial incentive to Race-to-Fish for high value species or for species the vessel did not traditionally have entitlement to catch but it means there is the flexibility to land all catches.

The loss of time is paid for by the value of the “wrong” fish meaning fishermen can make a living but needn't work on catching more fish.

This system would preserve large scale investment in FQAs whilst allowing the flexibility for all fishermen to prosper across all sizes and sectors to rebuild coastal communities.

Crucially fishermen would be catching less fish with no discards and a limit on time at sea whilst generating real time data which allows real time management responding to natural fluctuations.

This would give Britain a unique, world leading discard free management system, allowing Britain to husband her resources sustainably in a system that allows all fishermen and communities to prosper.

# Deportation French style

The UK is not the only country to have problems of processing asylum seekers and their subsequent removal should they fail the immigration process.

Hungary holds refugee children as young as 14 in shipping containers along its border with Serbia.

French president Emmanuel Macron's party Republic on the Move (LRM) introduced a bill in February in order to speed up the application process for asylum seekers which

would also make it easier to deport people who failed the test.

The French National Assembly has now passed this bill as a result it will also allow authorities to keep child asylum seekers in detention for up to 90 days as they await deportation as well as introducing a one-year prison sentence for those entering France illegally.

Human rights groups and opposition figures have criticised the bill that was passed in April by 228 votes to 139,

with 24 abstentions, saying the measures go too far.

Those lucky enough to be granted refugee status will benefit from easier access to work. However, it will make it much harder for asylum seekers as the application filing period has been lowered from 120 days to 90 days with a reduction in the appeals process to only 15 days from, it was one month.

The controversial measures announced will now be debated in the French senate in June.

## Identity Cards

Yet again we hear of the back-door method of introducing Identity Cards (ID) they back on the agenda.

The latest cunning plot was used in the 3rd May local elections being piloted in Bromley, Gosport, Swindon, Watford and Woking, where voters were asked to prove their identity before voting. As yet other forms of identity are being accepted but the move to ID cards is clear to see.

According to the Electoral Reform

Society (ERS) the idea is "deeply flawed" and that this new system could be a "calculated effort" to make voting harder for some citizens. It went on to point out that voter fraud was "incredibly rare".

Let us not forget that all the EU countries excluding UK, Ireland and Denmark issue ID cards to all their citizens. In these countries they can be demanded at any time as a proof of identity.

Former cabinet minister Peter Lilley once stated that, "There is no policy that has been hawked, unsold, around Whitehall for longer than identity cards. It was always brought to us as a solution looking for problems".

The Electoral Reform Society have not yet realised that this idea for using proof of identity before voting is nothing to do with fraud, but just another way of coercing people to require ID cards.

## Redistribution of UK MEPs seats

Following the UK leaving the EU the seats currently occupied by the UK's 73 MEPs will be redistributed and the EU are currently discussing what to do with them and have said that they will have completed these talks by June.

According to Donald Tusk, the leaders of the EU member states have broadly supported the idea that fewer

member states should mean fewer seats, which means reducing the number of MEPs from 751 to 705.

Some of the members that have felt under represented are also to be allocated further seats.

Irish prime minister Leo Varadkar has expressed his satisfaction over the fact that Ireland could have two extra seats in the EU parliament.

However, the Netherlands is one of the few countries which wants all 73 seats to be vacated – even though it would gain three MEPs. Whilst at the same time accepting that there was a "vast, vast majority" of countries that supported the proposed redistribution.

The Netherlands is going to consider the ideas before deciding whether if it can agree by July.

## France just avoids EU fine

The EU is very good at giving out rules and regulations but when it suits its greater agenda it just ignores them.

Finally after 10 years France one of the EU's larger member has brought its deficit to below the 3% official level that the EU had imposed on member

states.

According to the French Statistical Office the GDP deficit for 2017 was 2.6% down from the 2016 level of 3.4%.

This has just avoided it receiving a fine, although it got away with ten years of failure without a fine.

Meanwhile, Spain is still running a deficit above the 3% limit.

The euro is based upon all member countries running a similar fiscal policy. While this goal may be fine in theory it is not working in practice and the result is extreme hardship for many members like Greece and Italy.

# The future for the House of Lords

Following his recent highly critical “playing with fire” remarks about the House of Lords’ attitude towards Brexit and the petition calling for a referendum on abolition of the Upper Chamber reaching 116,000 signatures by April 25th, Independent Labour Peer Lord Stoddart of Swindon has provided his thoughts on the future of the Lords.

“Since 1999 the House of Lords has changed beyond all recognition and has become completely unrepresentative and unfit to act as a legitimate second chamber. The Liberal Democrat Party, which has only 12 seats in the House of

Commons, has 102 seats in the House of Lords - over 60 seats more than they are entitled to in proportion to their vote at the last General Election. Furthermore, the 177 cross benchers who, are intended to be independent and impartial, do not always seem to live up to their role.

“It seems clear that the House of Lords has lost a lot of support in the country and the fact that over 116,000 people have taken the trouble to demand a House of Commons debate on the future of the Lords indicates that change must come.

“As I see it, there are a number of possibilities for the future:-

Agreement by the House of Lords, itself, to reduce the period of delay in the case of disagreement between the Houses to not more than two months.

Abolition of the House of Lords and replaced by an appointed body with the power to advise but not to legislate.

Abolition of the House of Lords and a unicameral system introduced instead. This would enable the House of Commons to share their power in matters of great moment with the people through referenda but such a radical reform would, necessarily, only take place following a constitutional convention and the consent of the people by means of a referendum.”

## Solving the Irish border

John Redwood

The Conservative MP John Redwood’s views on the Irish border as of the 20th April 2018.

“The European Union has long decided to use the Irish border issue as one to try to force the UK to stay in the Customs Union, keep all EU rules and make the exit payment they want which is not a legal requirement on the UK. It is most important that the UK civil service negotiators understand this is a silly ruse, and robustly put the alternative case. Let me remind them what it is.

The border between the Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland, part of the UK, is already a complex one. It is a currency, VAT, Excise and criminal law jurisdiction border. This means there are already so called hard border arrangements to ensure any goods

moving across the border pay the right amount of VAT to the right authorities, and pay the relevant Excise duties. There are anti smuggling systems in place as there is obviously current scope to exploit differences of VAT and Excise by criminals. There are ways of handling movement of criminals across the frontier, and electronic systems for trade through TIR, registered economic operators and the rest.

If we also need to levy customs duties when we leave because the EU refuses the UK offer of tariff free trade, so be it. The same methods used for VAT and Excise can be used for the customs levies. It can be done electronically away from the border as it is today.

Before we entered the EU we had a

free travel area with the Republic and all involved in the negotiations wish this to continue, so it is difficult to see new problems concerning movement of people. When the UK legislates its new borders system for movement of people it is likely to seek to reduce migrant numbers into the UK by changing benefit rules and requiring work permits, not by imposing new controls at the frontier. We already have external border checks on illegals and on criminals seeking to cross. We will continue to welcome as many tourists, visitors and people who pay their own bills who wish to come.

I trust the UK will give a robust defence of this approach and demonstrate that the Irish border is a put up job by the EU to push us back into conformity.”

## Refugee limits

The EU are trying to reach a consensus by June on annual refugee limits for member states but to date are not having a lot of success.

According to the German

newspaper *Der Spiegel*, in early January, a memo from the German interior ministry states that, “a European parliament reform proposal on the key EU-wide ‘Dublin’ asylum

regulation would require the countries to take in far more refugees.

Furthermore, it states that, “it would nullify any government plans to impose an annual refugee cap”.

## Diesel emission fraud

Former VW chief executive has been charged by US prosecutors in

Detroit over the diesel scandal. He has been accused of conspiracy to mislead.

However, he can simply avoid facing trial by remaining in Germany.

# LETTERS

Tel: 08456 120 175 email: [eurofacts@junepress.com](mailto:eurofacts@junepress.com)

## Brussels bureaucrats

Dear Sir,

I have no doubt the Rodney Atkinson (*eurofacts* April 2018) is right in saying that German, French and other EU business wants tariff-free trade with a post-Brexit Britain. Indeed, there were strong indications of this in the immediate aftermath of our 2016 referendum.

The trouble is that, at present, we have to negotiate with the politically-motivated Brussels mandarins, not business people and manufacturers. If only it were otherwise!

Have EU industrial and business interests sufficient clout to force the "ever closer union" Brussels bureaucrats to change?

Eventually, accompanied by political changes in several EU countries, it maybe so. But how soon?

As things stand at present, we appear stuck with Barnier and Co. (To say nothing of the disgraceful tactics of our own Remainers).

Clearly, there are several different opinions on how we deal with this situation - be tougher, call their bluff, walk away, WTO rules, or (a bit late in the day?) go for a Norwegian-type EFTA (EEA approach).

Personally, I would have gone for the later - but that's by-the-way.

Meanwhile, good luck to those industrial/business interests in persuading the Brussels bureaucracy - and of course their own government too - to change course.

IVOR JOHNS  
Derbyshire

## The Galileo project

Dear Sir,

I was interested to hear that according to the UK security service, the UK would be safer if it left the current EU Galileo project that is under construction and created its own system. The American GPS system currently in use in the UK is by far a

better system than the EU Galileo project. Although the American GPS is controlled by the US military while the Galileo system will be a civil operation. The UK would be reliant for its defence on the EU and not America upon which it currently has very close security and data transfer.

Should the UK go down the same route as Russia and China by creating its own satellite system, it would be independent of any foreign control and therefore safer for the country.

The lesson the UK learned with the Falkland war is that America is a safer ally than the EU. This is still the same today.

DAVID REYNOLDS  
Hampshire

## European Arrest Warrant

Dear Sir,

Much talk is given to the advantages of the European Arrest Warrant in terms of getting allegedly criminal suspects back from European countries.

However, little thought goes into the other side of the coin where UK citizens are departed to Europe under the same arrest warrant without the accused being able to go through the UK court system. Often the charges levied are not ones recognised by the UK courts and the evidence produced would not stand up in a UK court.

The arrest warrant is wide open to unscrupulous European countries or politicians who just wish to silence someone.

The sooner the UK political parties wake up to this threat to our democracy and legal system the better. On current evidence it appears they just don't care!

RICHARD GRAVES  
London

## Lords debate

Dear Sir,

What has become apparent to all UK citizens is that the majority members of

the House of Lords believe that they run the country and make the important decisions that affect the public.

Clearly they have lost touch with democracy. The public has spoken out on EU membership and decided against it. Parliament is their to represent the people not to dictate to the people, if they wish to become dictators they are living in the wrong country. Those who do not wish to abide by the electoral system operated through the House of Commons should resign their position and leave the Lords immediately.

Luckily for the House of Lords, it appears parliament in the House of Commons are not able to call for the abolition of the House of Lords through a referendum. If they did the Lords would become history. The good work done over many years by the Lords has now being destroyed by the present members attitude to the public they are supposed to serve.

WENDY DAVIS  
Surrey

## UK-EU negotiations

Dear Sir,

The UK should make it very clear to the EU negotiators that any financial commitments made by the UK to the EU are subject to a satisfactory trade deal also being agreed.

The EU idea that the UK should just pay when it leaves the EU in March 2019 and then hopefully get a trade/customs deal later is not how deals are made.

No successful business would agree to pay up before a full deal was achieved! Would you agree to pay for a mortgage on a new house before you have actually seen what you are getting for your money?

We should follow the EU mantra that "Nothing is agreed until everything is agreed" and that includes any payments of any sort!

SIMON DAWES  
Essex

# MEETINGS

**Gresham College**  
020 7831 0575

Wednesday **30th May**, 6.00 pm

*“Russia After Communism”*

**Bridget Kendell** *Master of Peterhouse, Cambridge*

PUBLIC MEETING  
Museum of London, London Wall,  
London EC2  
**Admission Free**

**The Freedom Association**  
0845 833 9626

Saturday **2nd June**,  
10.30 am - 5.45 pm

**Freedom Central**  
(This has been arranged due to the successful “Freedom Festival” held in March at Bournemouth)

Speakers include  
**Rupert Matthews MEP**  
**Margaret Parker MEP**  
**Edward Spalton**, *Chairman of CIB*  
**Heather Wheeler MP**, *Minister for Housing and Homelessness*

Q & A session at 5.00 pm

FREEDOM CENTRAL  
Hallmark Hotel Derby Midland,  
Midland Road, Derby DE1 2SQ  
**Admission £50**  
(Limited number of reduced price tickets available for Full time students under 25 years of age £5)

**Gresham College**  
020 7831 0575

Thursday **7th June**, 6.00 pm

*“The British Economy: Can We Build a Successful Future?”*

**Jagjit Chadha**, *Gresham Professor of Commerce*

PUBLIC MEETING  
Barnard’s Inn Hall, Holborn, London  
EC1N 2HH  
**Admission Free**

**The Economic Research Council**

Tuesday **26th June**, 6.30 pm

*“Trade”*

**Shanker Singham**, *Special Trade Commissioner at Legatum Institute*

PUBLIC MEETING  
Royal Over-Seas League, Royal Over-Seas House, 6 Park Place, St James’s Street, London  
**Admission by ticket**  
(Non-ERC members £15 - Students £10) via: [www.ercouncil.org](http://www.ercouncil.org)

## FREE - Advertising Space

Should you be planning a meeting and/or conference dealing with the subject of the UK-EU relationship.

**eurofacts Phone: 08456 120 175 or Email: [eurofacts@junepress.com](mailto:eurofacts@junepress.com)**

## DIARY OF EVENTS

European Summit **June**

Austria takes over **1st July**  
EU Council Presidency

Donald Trump’s UK Visit **13th July**

### 2019

Romania takes over **1st January**  
EU Council Presidency

Official date **29th March**  
for completion of  
Article 50 negotiations  
between the UK and the EU  
and start of ‘Transition’ due to end in  
December 2020

EU post-Brexit Summit **May**  
Sibiu, Romania

EU MEP elections **23rd-26th May**

Finland takes over **1st July**  
EU Council Presidency

### 2020

Croatia takes over **1st January**  
EU Council Presidency

## USEFUL WEB SITES

**British Constitution Group**

[www.britishconstitutiongroup.com](http://www.britishconstitutiongroup.com)

**British Future**

[www.britishfuture.org](http://www.britishfuture.org)

**British Weights & Measures Assoc.**

[www.bwmaonline.com](http://www.bwmaonline.com)

**Bruges Group**

[www.brugesgroup.com](http://www.brugesgroup.com)

**Campaign Against Euro-Federalism**

[www.caef.org.uk](http://www.caef.org.uk)

**Campaign for an Independent Britain**

[www.campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk](http://www.campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk)

**Change Britain**

[www.changebritain.org](http://www.changebritain.org)

**Concordance**

[www.concordanceout.eu](http://www.concordanceout.eu)

**Conservatives for Britain**

[www.conservativesforbritain.org](http://www.conservativesforbritain.org)

**Democracy Movement**

[www.democracymovement.org.uk](http://www.democracymovement.org.uk)

**English Constitution Group**

[www.englishconstitutiongroup.org](http://www.englishconstitutiongroup.org)

**EU Observer**

[www.euobserver.com](http://www.euobserver.com)

**EU Truth**

[www.eutruth.org.uk](http://www.eutruth.org.uk)

**European Commission (London)**

[www.cec.org.uk](http://www.cec.org.uk)

**European Foundation**

[www.europeanfoundation.org](http://www.europeanfoundation.org)

**Freedom Association**

[www.tfa.net](http://www.tfa.net)

**Futurus**

[www.futurus-thinktank.com](http://www.futurus-thinktank.com)

**Get Britain Out**

[www.getbritainout.org](http://www.getbritainout.org)

**Global Britain**

[www.globalbritain.org](http://www.globalbritain.org)

**Global Vision**

[www.global-vision.net](http://www.global-vision.net)

**GrassRootsOut**

[www.grassrootsout.co.uk](http://www.grassrootsout.co.uk)

**June Press (Publications)**

[www.junepress.com](http://www.junepress.com)

**Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign**

[www.lesc.org.uk](http://www.lesc.org.uk)

**Leave.eu**

[www.Leave.eu](http://www.Leave.eu)

**New Alliance**

[www.newalliance.org.uk](http://www.newalliance.org.uk)

**Open Europe**

[www.openeurope.org.uk](http://www.openeurope.org.uk)

**Sovereignty**

[www.sovereignty.org.uk](http://www.sovereignty.org.uk)

**Statewatch**

[www.statewatch.org](http://www.statewatch.org)

**The Taxpayers’ Alliance**

[www.taxpayersalliance.com](http://www.taxpayersalliance.com)

**United Kingdom Independence Party**

[www.ukip.org](http://www.ukip.org)

**Seizing the moment**

by John Ashworth. **£4.00**

The opportunities for UK fisheries after Brexit with the restoration of the 200nm/midline resources zone.

**Germany's Fourth Reich**

by Harry Beckhough. **£8.00**

Code-breaker and spy explains the real drive by Germany for control over Europe without war.

**The Magic of Banking  
The Coming Collapse**

by Godfrey Bloom. **£7.00**

This clear look into the current banking system exposes the upcoming crisis.

**What it Will Look Like**

How leaving the EU and the Single Market can be made to work for Britain  
by the Bruges Group. **£5.00**

Addressing the main concerns this report shows a clear route out of the EU.

**Britain's Referendum Decision  
and its Effects**

by Stephen Bush. **£8.99**

Clear facts that explain the dangers we face inside or outside the EU.

**The Democratic Imperative**

by Robert Corfe. **£12.99**

Why democracy is only possible in a nation state.

**Clean Brexit**

Why Leaving The EU Still Makes Sense  
Building a post Brexit economy for all  
by Liam Halligan & Gerard Lyons.  
**£20.00**

Economists explain why the UK should embrace Brexit and the benefits that will flow for the UK and Europe.

**A Doomed Marriage**

Why Britain Should Leave the EU

by Daniel Hannan. **£8.99**

Without EU membership, the UK can become the most successful nation.

**The UK's liabilities to the financial  
mechanisms of the European Union**

by Bob Lyddon. **£8.00**

How the UK's exposure to the EU is over £80 billion and maybe more.

**Britain Votes To Leave,  
What Happens Next**

by Ian Milne. **£2.50**

A fictional letter from the UK Prime Minister to the EU after a referendum decision to leave with detailed ideas.

**The Betrayal of  
British Industry**

by J. Brian Heywood. **£3.00**

How government has failed to protect the UK industrial sector from foreign companies, putting at risk the long-term economic prosperity of the UK.

**The Market Solution -FLEXCIT**

by Dr Richard E, North. **£5.00**

How the UK can leave the EU, through an orderly, plausible and practical way, that is practically risk-free.

**Spyhunter**

by Michael Shrimpton. **£25.00**

A fascinating alternative view of history, including the EU, exposes the secret world of German intelligence.

**Brexit Choices**

by Hugh Williams **£3.00**

A layperson's guide to the Brexit negotiations.

**British History**

by Hugh Williams. **£15.00**

An illustrated guide to a history of Britain from before Roman times to the present day House of Windsor.

**Send payment to**

**THE JUNE PRESS LTD  
PO BOX 119  
TOTNES, DEVON TQ9 7WA**

Tel: 08456 120 175  
Email: info@junepress.com

**WEB SALES [www.junepress.com](http://www.junepress.com)**

**PLEASE ADD  
10% P&P (UK ONLY)  
FULL BOOKLIST AVAILABLE**

## eurofacts

SUBSCRIBE TODAY

**RATES**

UK	£30
Europe (Airmail)	£42/€50
Rest of World	£55/\$95
Reduced rate (UK only)	£20

Reduced rate for senior citizens, students & unemployed only.  
Subscriptions alone do not cover costs so we are also seeking donations.

Please send me the monthly *eurofacts* and the occasional papers.

I enclose my annual payment of £.....  
to *eurofacts*: PO Box 119  
Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA

Name .....

Address .....

.....

.....

Postcode .....

Date .....

*Please print clearly in capital letters*

FOR "EU"

European Commission	020 7973 1992
European Movement	020 7940 5252
Federal Trust	020 7735 4000

AGAINST "EU"

Britain Out	01403 741736
British Weights & Measures Assoc.	01738 783936
Business for Britain	0207 3406070
CIB	0116 2874 622
Conservativesforbritain	<a href="http://www.conservativesforbritain.org">www.conservativesforbritain.org</a>
Democracy Movement	020 7603 7796
Freedom Association	0845 833 9626
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign	020 7691 3800
New Alliance	020 7385 9757
Fishing Association	01224 313473

CROSS PARTY THINK TANKS

British Future	<a href="http://www.britishfuture.org">www.britishfuture.org</a>
Bruges Group	020 7287 4414
Global Britain	<a href="http://www.globalbritain.org">www.globalbritain.org</a>
Global Vision	<a href="http://www.global-vision.net">www.global-vision.net</a>
Open Europe	0207 197 2333

POLITICAL PARTIES

Conservative	020 7222 9000
Rt Hon Mrs Theresa May MP	
English Democrats	01277 896000
Robin Tilbrook (Chairman)	
Green Party	020 7272 4474
Caroline Lucas MP and Jonathan Bartley	
Labour	020 7783 1000
Jeremy Corbyn MP	
Liberal	01562 68361
Mr Rob Wheway	
Liberal Democrats	020 7222 7999
Rt Hon Sir Vince Cable	
UK Independence Party	01626 831290
Acting leader Gerard Batten MEP	

ISSN 1361-4134



9 771361 413006