

Sabotaging Brexit

Rodney Atkinson

Some 306 amendments and 58 new clauses have been tabled to the European Union (Withdrawal) Bill mostly by MPs bent on frustrating the will of the people and stopping Brexit. The weaselly words “but what kind of Brexit, hard or soft...?” were not uttered when we joined in 1973 “what kind of entry? hard or soft?” – we simply lost our right to govern ourselves and have our own borders or seas. Now *the reverse* is what the British people want and hundreds of MPs, while claiming to accept it, are trying to stop it.

But some – like the New Clause 49 sponsored by the Eurosceptic Labour MPs Frank Field, Ruth Smeeth, Ronnie Campbell, Gareth Snell and Kelvin Hopkins seek to aid the process and make it quite clear that “The UK ceases to belong to the European Union on 30th March 2019”.

These 5 Labour MPs also sponsored a new clause 51, which demands that every 6 months after leaving the Government should review “all EU legislation and decisions still applicable to the UK with proposal for re-enactment, replacement or repeal by the UK Parliament”. This is a blow on behalf of open law making, which of course the Eurofanatics around Edward Heath (in 1972) and John Major (in 1992-93) deliberately and specifically abandoned.

The Heath and Major administrations used *implied repeal* of many fundamental laws and statutes of the British Constitution by European Treaties so they could be disguised and not discussed.... Now, when we are withdrawing from those decades of deceit and illegality, the obnoxious ‘Remainer’ hypocrites claim they are

“restoring” that parliamentary sovereignty which they and their kind took (quietly and deceitfully) from the British people in the first place.

Amendment 53 seeks to frustrate Brexit by demanding a separate vote on a NEW treaty with the European Union before the Act which bound us into the EU is repealed....

The sponsors of which never understood the concept of Leave means Leave. They ignore the referendum result. They even ignore the votes in parliament, which acknowledged we are leaving! They never understood the concept of the sovereignty of the people (*they think Parliament rules the people not the other way round!*). They seek to ignore the simple instruction: “Leave” and instead fabricate some arrangement with the EU, which will in effect mean we don’t leave!

Another wrecking Amendment seeks to perpetuate the customs union and external tariffs of the EU AFTER we leave. This would mean of course we had in fact not left and would be unable to negotiate trade deals with other countries or reduce import costs....

Another bunch of spoilers seek an amendment (78) to prevent leaving unless we join the European Economic Area and remain within the Customs Union. This would mean continued mass migrations under “freedom of movement” and customs tariffs and being prey to EU legislation over which we had no influence and demands for money in return for being able to trade with the EU. (The weak EEA countries at present pay a ransom to Brussels to be able to trade!)...

In another amendment MPs

betray exactly what the ‘Remainers’ see in a “transitional” period. Theresa May rightly sees not a transition but an “implementation” period (although she seems to have proposed obedience to EU law even then!) but these ‘Remainer’ labour MPs are clearly accepting continued membership of the EU for another 2 years! Naturally they think they may be in power by then and can reverse Brexit. That same group of Labour MPs make this quite clear in their Amendment 278 which states that what they want is neither a transitional nor an implementation phase (in any sense of those words) but continued membership:

“The exit day appointed must not be before the end of any transitional period agreed under Article 50 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.”

One of the more stupid ideas is the Amendment (304) proposed by the Conservative MP Cheryl Gillan which would: *“enable UK Courts to refer matters to the European Court on or after exit if those matters related to an act before exit day”*.

This is so all embracing and vague in defining such laws that virtually any issue could be said to relate to previous, pre Brexit EU laws or regulations thus guaranteeing virtual EU Court rule for ever! Of course the amendment says “enable” rather than require but given the politicisation of our judiciary on this matter we know how most of them would act!

Similarly another group... including Sir Vince Cable put down an amendment (137) which states:

“When interpreting retained EU law after exit day a court tribunal shall

Continued on page 2

Sabotaging Brexit

Continued from page 1

pay due regard to any relevant decision of the European Court."

These MPs, while (suddenly and hypocritically) finding they support the British people's right to a sovereign parliament to stop Brexit, nevertheless seem to dismiss the idea of UK Courts interpreting UK Law and instead want other foreign courts to help decide our law!

Other Labour MPs.... even want to shadow ALL FUTURE changes in EU and EEA law when we are a member of neither!

"which would otherwise have amended provisions or definitions in UK law had the UK remained a member of the EU or EEA beyond exit day."

Many MPs want,

"To allow challenges to be brought

to retained EU law on the grounds that it is in breach of general principles of EU law"....

This is yet another example of permitting permanent influence or indeed control over our sovereign Parliament by the EU long after Brexit.

Source: Extract of Article: Target The MPs Sabotaging Brexit
www.freenations.org.uk

UK negotiating strengths

There are a number of positive reasons as to why the UK has a good negotiating position with the EU, a quick reminder.

1. The UK and the EU are signed up to the WCO, which exists to help simplify and resolve customs issues.

2. The WTO TBT agreement prohibits the EU from banning UK goods that meet international standards.

3. The WTO agreement on Rules of Origin means that the EU will have to ensure rules of origin are administered "in a consistent, uniform and reasonable manner" when dealing with exports from the UK.

4. Nearly 6 million EU jobs are linked with exports to the EU.

5. The EU treaties advocate the EU to sign free trade deals, especially with neighbouring countries.

6. EU rules are increasingly being influenced (or copied) by rules set out by global or regional bodies.

7. The UK is already harmonised with EU rules and the government has already pledged to repatriate existing 'EU Law' into UK law as part of the Great Repeal Bill, to minimise disruption.

8. It is in the interests of the UK and EU to maintain close, friendly co-operation.

As Jacques Delors - Ex President of the European Commission notably said:

"The British are solely concerned about their economic interests, nothing else. They could be offered a different form of partnership."

"If the British cannot support the trend towards more integration in Europe, we can nevertheless remain friends, but on a different basis. I could imagine a form such as a 'free trade agreement'."

Source:

A Bruges Group publication "What it Will Look Like" see rear page.

EU harmonisation of lifestyle

It appears that the EU goal for harmonisation is now moving into the area of the control over the lifestyle of the EU citizens.

At a healthy lifestyle conference in September at Tartu in Estonia the EU health commissioner Vytenis Andriukaitis said "I am particularly worried about today's children and young people... they spend much time playing with smartphones and tablets, eating snacks and sweets, drinking sugary drinks - and not moving much."

The conference was also attended by the EU commissioner for education,

culture, youth and sport, Tibor Navracsics, and EU commissioner for agriculture, Phil Hogan, to launch the "Tartu call for a healthy lifestyle".

A report by the OECD and the European Commission last year "Health at a glance: Europe 2016" showed that in 2013-14, 20 per cent of girls aged 11 exercised to recommended daily levels, but only 10 per cent of those aged 15 did.

For boys, the figures were 30 per cent for the younger children and 20 per cent for the older ones.

It has been suggested that physical

inactivity costs the EU €80.4 billion annually, and kills half a million Europeans every year. One in four adults are currently inactive, rising to four in five amongst adolescents.

An increase is promised in EU funding for projects promoting physical activity under the sport chapter of the Erasmus+ programme, for instance, as well as further research to determine what drives lifestyle choices.

The health of any nation is important, but why should the EU be acting in this area?

Uplift for the UK economy

According to a report from "Economists for Free Trade" the lead author Patrick Minford argues that a "hard" Brexit is economically much

superior to a "soft" Brexit.

The report recommends that removing all trade tariffs and barriers would help generate an annual £135

billion uplift to the UK economy.

Furthermore, that eliminating tariffs, either within free trade deals or unilaterally, would deliver huge gains.

Defence issues

Extract of an article by David Banks in Veterans today

Since November 2016, *Veterans today* has been sounding the alarm about the vast military agreements the EU has been pushing.

“The UK should never have agreed to participate, yet ministers have given active consent for the UK to be a participant and have done so in FIVE separate agreements at the EU Council.

EU Defence Union is vast and complicated. A single page might reference four other prior plans or agreements which must also be read in order that the whole is understood. Financial elements link to structural elements, which in turn link to political decision-making and joint purchasing and ownership of military assets. There is even foray into space, satellites, drones and “beyond state-of-the-art” defence technology....

The EU Commission has been planning this since 2014 and has rolled out a dizzying SEVENTEEN documents in less than six months, comprising more than 60,000 words.

After every EU Council meeting, new documents are generated while others are amended.

In the absence of simple answers to simple questions, many default to the hopeful view that ‘Brexit makes it all ok’ and the relationship will surely end in 2019.

Nothing to worry about, right? Wrong.

We are always being asked why the UK’s accession to these agreements has any effect on a departing UK.....

How does EU Defence Union bind the UK in terms of Brexit? Here’s how:

1. It threatens UK autonomy in defence procurement decisions

How? UK companies are tied into defence procurement deals that require adherence to EU defence policy and European Defence Agency (EDA) membership. When the UK leaves these, it regains defence autonomy. But the companies will be hostages to EU policy and political pressure from Brussels.

2. It gives the EU new leverage in the Brexit talks

How? The agreements are an additional set of UK commitments. These will be harder to unravel post-2019. They cover defence command structure, intelligence, defence finance and defence procurement.

Once signed up, the UK loses its ability to negotiate a deal that best suits an independent country.

Worse, as a key military power the EU wants our support, but we will have handed it over without gains in other Brexit areas in return.

3. It commits the UK to legal merger of defence capabilities for at least two years

How? It means refusing to even begin discussing UK disengagement from recent defence agreements until after March 2019. Exit talks first, future relationship second. That could take months or years and will keep the UK tied in for the duration of a transition deal, during which the UK will still be a member of the European Defence Agency and applying Common Defence Policy.

4. It hinders our exit from the European Investment Bank, the EU state bank, created to “further EU policy goals”

The new EU policy means linking UK membership of the European Investment Bank (EIB) to defence, making it more difficult to leave the European Investment Bank, which is an organisation closely associated with supporting EU policy with considerable UK financial assets but for limited UK gain.

5. It adds a ‘gravitational risk’ to the UK for the start of defence talks in 2019, which are intended to take us closer to EU defence union

How? Since the UK is already part signed up, it feeds future calls for the UK to remain in the EU’s centralising military strategy, removing the UK’s ability to take independent action in defence and defence procurement to save jobs and expertise.

6. It threatens NATO

The European Commission’s European Defence Action Plan

repeatedly asserts the EU’s ‘strategic autonomy’ in defence.

“The EU will continue to work closely with its partners, particularly with the United Nations and NATO, while respecting the autonomy of the EU’s decision-making processes.”

The EEAS’s Security and Defence Implementation Plan, as well as the EU Council conclusions from March, May and November 2017, repeatedly refer to the development of EU strategic autonomy in defence.

The EU is pursuing four separate funding streams that partly claim authority over member states’ national defence budgets and joint financial assets. The decision making over these funds and their conduct will be done within the EU remit, not through NATO.

The protagonists of EU defence union have outlined areas in which NATO has not served the objectives of then EU. Ursula Von Der Leyen, German Defence Minister, justified EU defence union by citing NATO ‘inability’ to intervene in the Western Balkans, Ukraine and Africa. This helps explain why the EU is moving towards developing common pooled EU assets. It already has collective assets such as its Satellite Centre, and a Space Policy to go with it.

7. It is completely unnecessary for the UK to be involved, yet EU Commissioners have told the UK Govt it is expected to “play its full role”.

Denmark, an EU member state plays no part in EU defence because it opted out. The UK should have insisted on the same deal.

Diplomats and Ministers thought they were “nurturing good will” by playing along, but that’s not how the EU works. It’s an acquisitive project that exploits every opportunity behind momentary consensus.

The EU Commission has told the UK that because “decisions over EU Defence Union were taken unanimously”, the UK is expected to “play its full role while it remains a member”.

UK Withdrawal Bill

The Government's European Union (Withdrawal Bill) formerly known as the Great Repeal Bill is intended to end the EU law's supremacy in the UK. It repeals the European Communities Act 1972, introduced after the UK government at that time voted to join the EU."

But was this 1972 Act legal?

At no time, after or before the passing of the ECA72 were the people given the opportunity to vote on whether or not they wished to join what was then the European Economic Community. In fact neither the people nor Parliament could lawfully take such a decision as it would mean a surrender of national sovereignty which is not in the gift of any one generation, it being the birth right of generations yet unborn just as it was and is ours.

This inarguable fact is enshrined and upheld in our common law constitution. Regardless of the law and constitutional constraint a

Conservative government under PM Edward Heath by means of lies, deceptions and disinformation forced through the ECA72 which claimed to give lawful acceptance of the signing up to the Treaty of Rome and its terms, conditions and constraints and which effectively demanded the surrender of national sovereignty and consequently the deposition of the monarch as there can be no sovereign monarch in a country which is no longer sovereign. Clearly Parliament acted treasonously as according to the Treason Felony Act 1848 it '*imagined the death of the monarch*'. Not only was the ECA72 passed unlawfully it was enacted in violation of the Vienna Convention on Treaties 1969 designed to safeguard people from despotic dictatorial governance. The so-called ECA72 therefore is '*ultra vires*', a non-act and as such cannot be repealed but should and must be struck from the statute book.

It is possible that PM Harold Wilson

understood this in when in 1975 he attempted to appease the anti EEC movement in his Party led by Michael Foot and Tony Benn by offering the people a referendum on whether they should remain in or leave, but by then such was the perilous state of Parliament's unlawful position the people were again persuaded by means of more lies and deceptions to vote to remain.

And now PM May, caught in a 'oh what a web we weave' pickle, is attempting to cover up the illegality by pretending the ECA72 is a proper and lawful act, presumably hoping it will enable her to continue to cover up the truth of the felony by permanently placing the British people under laws of foreign origin, an act in itself unlawful according to constitutional constraint.

Parliament has proved that most of the people can be fooled most of the time but thankfully not all of the people for all of the time.

Lesson on negotiating with the EU

Speaking at a Campaign for an Independent Britain (CIB) conference in April 2017 was a retired Greek ambassador Leonidas Chrysanthopoulos, who described in graphic detail the ongoing problems faced by Greece as a result of the European Union's - imposed austerity package. The Greek sovereign debt crisis began as far back as 2009 and the enforced belt-tightening has ruined the country, with the death rate having

increased dramatically. Furthermore, in spite of massive spending cuts, the country's debt to GDP ratio has got worse. It was 146 per cent in 2010 but by 2016, it had risen to 179 per cent. Ambassador Chrysanthopoulos is a member of EPAM, a Greek anti-EU campaign group with whom CIB has had links that go back a number of years.

Based on his own country's unhappy dealings with the EU in recent

years, he advised the UK to walk away from the negotiations if the EU presents insurmountable obstacles. The timing of his words were remarkable as his compatriot Yanis Varoufakis, the former finance minister, had been extensively quoted by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard in the *Daily Telegraph* only the previous day warning of the difficulties of negotiating with the EU. (www.campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk)

Financial trust

According to Alexander Stubb, vice president of the European Investment Bank (EIB) - in which the UK is a 16% shareholder - said that it would not be fully repaid until 2054.

This means that billions of euros of British taxpayers' money could remain locked into the EIB for more than 30

years after Brexit.

The vice-president has described Brexit as a "travesty" but denied the move was a punishment. Furthermore, he said, "The EIB has leveraged the economy of the UK many, many fold over the years".

Will the UK receive a real interest

on the money? Only time will tell or will it be taken into consideration on the so-called UK divorce bill - unlikely.

For anyone in any doubt about who to trust your money with this is clear proof that EU institutions cannot be trusted with UK finances.

BOOK REVIEW

Derek Sterling

A post-Brexit economy

Two leading economists explain in detail the reasons why Brexit can work not just for the UK but, for Europe as a whole.

They break down the book into five precise sections.

Firstly, in a section called 'Before Brexit' they explain the reason behind the UK's decision to join the EU now 60 years old and how the EU has failed to keep up with changing face of the world.

Secondly, in a section 'Choices for the UK & EU' they consider what kind of Brexit and what kind of European Union would be best for both parties.

Thirdly, in a section "Tacking Back Control" they deal with the various aspects of the negotiations that are currently taking place. Also covered is the WTO rules and transition including global negotiations.

Fourthly, 'The UK After Brexit' where areas of the economy, city, immigration, housing and young people, nations and regions and Ireland are looked into in greater detail.

Fifthly, 'Moving On' how respecting the referendum result and the making of a truly Global Britain can be achieved.

This book deals with the Hard or Soft Brexit opportunities and problems that could result, coming down firmly on the side that the UK must become a truly sovereign country and no longer subjected to the ECJ or authority of the

Following the rise in euroscepticism in Germany and Austria the upcoming Italian parliamentary elections that have to be held by next spring could cause in further problems for the EU.

Italy supported the UK's bid to join the EEC in 1973, and has been and still is a supporter and friend of the UK.

The UK decision to implement Brexit worries the Italians as they have always seen the UK as a member of the

Clean Brexit

Why Leaving The EU
Still Makes Sense

by Liam Halligan & Gerard Lyons

Biteback Hdbk 382pp 2017

Available from

The June Press

Price £20.00 + 10% p&p
(see back cover)

ISBN 978-1-78590-258-1

EU.

Leaving the EU as the authors put it 'is not by itself a guarantee of national success' but being outside the EU, not least in democratic terms as national sovereignty is returned, but the extent of the economic advantages depends on what we do once we leave.

The idea that to trade successfully with the EU requires membership of the single market is shown to be a false interpretation of the facts, when considering that the US and China the EU's second largest trading partner are not inside the single market. The UK is the world's fifth largest economy and as such has a much better chance of securing trade deals with large economies negotiating alone - as Switzerland did with China, striking an FTA in 2013, after two years of talks.

Italian elections

EU to act as a counter-weight to the Franco/German influence.

The current Italian government, led by Prime Minister Gentiloni (who replaced Renzi in autumn last year), has warned against punishing the UK, a temptation which the Italian Prime Minister has suggested is currently going on by some EU members.

Italy has supported the idea of a less confrontational approach to Brexit in order to keep the UK as close to the EU

This book advocates a Clean Brexit - leaving the single market and the customs union without trying to negotiate a bespoke deal that would break the EU's fundamental rules suggesting that a soft Brexit would become what they deem a Messy Brexit.

As they point out, we must not forget that 85% of the British economy is not directly affected by the terms of its trade relationship with the EU.

Furthermore, that Brexit provides a one-off opportunity to reposition Britain in a fast-changing world. The UK has the ability to take a leadership role in many global organisations such as the WTO and NATO, working with like-minded countries to drive the global agenda.

They also remind us that the UK is not leaving the EU because it is 'isolationist' or because British voters are 'stupid'. The public backed Leave because the EU has become rigid, protectionist and dogmatic on issues including business regulation and the UK's financial contribution, as well as border controls.

If this book teaches us anything apart from its comparison between the EU and the RMS *Titanic*, it is that the future of this country is better served by being an independent country with control over our future than members of a ship that is sailing in the opposite direction.

after Brexit as possible.

Meanwhile, Germany and France, are still pushing to include the jurisdiction of the ECJ over the EU citizens living in the UK therefore creating the possibility of a hard Brexit.

The result of the Italian elections could yet prove to create further problems for the Brexit hard-liners and for the further direction of the drive for further EU integration.

LETTERS

Tel: 08456 120 175 email: eurofacts@junepress.com

Efta/EEA route

Dear Sir,

In the October issue of *eurofacts* your front-page article placed hope over reality suggesting that, because we are in the EU, we could complete a trade deal, sorting out the issue of 'tariffs', in just 10 minutes.

There is however no mention of the far more relevant and complex 'Non-tariff' barriers which in order to continue frictionless trade will all need to be renegotiated given our new status as a 'third' country after March 29th 2019. This is of course possible but will take more time than we currently have which is why even the government is now talking about a transitional arrangement albeit they appear to have no idea what they want a transition to.

This why the only sensible course of action is the interim deal of the Efta/EEA option which would allow us to continue our frictionless trade with the Single Market. Then free of the political EU but still able to trade as before we would be able to devote our energies to developing an even better trade deal for all 51 countries in Europe rather than just the 32 in the EEA as well of course as negotiating our own new deals with other countries around the world.

NIAL WARRY

Somerset

Defence worries

Dear Sir,

According to unofficial sources it appears that Sir Michael Fallon, the former UK Defence Secretary had ties to the EU which go back to his student days when he campaigned in 1974 as a student with the Youth 'YES' campaign for the 1975 Referendum.

He is said to have worked as a Research Assistant for Baroness Elles when she was elected as an MEP in 1979. His connections/interest with defence possibly started when working

for Lord Carrington prior to 1977.

The height of his apparent ambiguity about his loyalties came in a *Telegraph* article. His faith in Cameron's ability to change the EU suggests he has little understanding of the EU - or he is a closet 'Remainer'. Either way, what is there about him to believe in or trust?

He was well suited as a member of the current May Government; his involvement in military affairs of the EU is clearly not in the interests of an independent Britain, whose membership of NATO must be retained, but without additional involvement in EU military affairs that could embarrass us in the future.

Let's hope his replacement Gavin Williamson is on the side of the UK.

The EU (Commission) response to the Spanish problem does nothing to dispel my fears about the reasons for the formation of the EU Border Force (all of their 'exercises' to date seem to be concerned with internal security) and the unification of the Dutch/German commands is a worrying development.

The 'need' for PESCO is to maintain internal power, NATO is the only necessary commitment essential for the defence of Europe.

R. WRIGHT-MORRIS

Cornwall

Brexit games

Dear Sir,

It was reported on the 30th October that Nick Clegg, Ken Clarke MP and Lord Adonis made a visit to the EU headquarters in Brussels.

This cross-part trio of pro-EU politicians jokingly branded themselves "the rebels" before holding talks with the European Commissions chief Brexit negotiator Michel Barnier.

We can only guess at what they talked about but we can be sure that it was not in support of Theresa May's Brexit negotiating team. More likely they added to the EU dream that the

UK will change its mind or offer such a bad deal that these same pro-EU men can then demand that parliament rejects the deal, thereby ignoring the will of the UK electorate.

SARAH BECKET

Leicestershire

Educational brain washing

Dear Sir,

Having been a user of UK libraries for many years I have observed the rise in so-called European Union information sections appearing in libraries.

In theory that sounds like a good idea, but in practise it amounts to a clever EU propaganda operation.

Nowhere do you find alternative views or even balanced information, instead you are fed on the idea that the world revolves around the EU and only as a member can you survive in the global world.

If this is how schools teach about the EU, then it is no wonder that those just completing their education are totally convinced that there is no other route than staying inside the dictatorial EU.

The BBC appears to use the same technique as the library.

Thank god I have a brain and can still see through this propaganda exercise.

LEONARD BAXTER

Oxfordshire

Scrutiny Committee

Dear Sir,

I was glad to hear that Sir Bill Cash has recently been appointed chairman of the European Scrutiny Committee.

DUNCAN RICHARDS

London

Thank you

We at *eurofacts* are very grateful to a number of supporters of our cause that have helped financially, including the Institute for Policy Research for a grant towards the cost of this publication.

MEETINGS

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Tuesday **12th December**, 6.00 pm

“The Liberal Party and the Liberal Democrats”

Vernon Bogdanor CBE FBA, *Visiting Professor of Political History*

PUBLIC MEETING
Museum of London, London Wall,
London EC2
Admission Free

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Thursday **25th January**, 1.00 pm

“The Housing Market”

Jagjit Chadha, *Gresham Professor of Commerce*

PUBLIC MEETING
Barnard’s Inn Hall, Holborn, London
EC1N 2HH
Admission Free

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Tuesday **30th January**, 6.00 pm

“The Labour Party”

Vernon Bogdanor CBE FBA, *Visiting Professor of Political History*

PUBLIC MEETING
Museum of London, London Wall,
London EC2
Admission Free

FREE - Advertising Space

Should you be planning a meeting and/or conference dealing with the subject of UK-EU relations we may be able to advertise the event without charge.

eurofacts Phone: 08456 120 175
or
Email: eurofacts@junepress.com

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Tuesday **13th February**, 6.00 pm

“Should We Vote Online”

Martyn Thomas, *IT Livery Company Professor of Information Technology*

PUBLIC MEETING
Museum of London, London Wall,
London EC2
Admission Free

The Freedom Association
0845 833 9626

Friday - Saturday
23rd - 24th March

For all those who care about Freedom and Liberty

Further details including full list of speakers to be announced

FREEDOM FESTIVAL
Hotel Miramar, East Cliff,
Bournemouth BH1
Admission - Information details
contact Freedom Association
(www.tfa.net)

DIARY OF EVENTS

UK Budget **22nd November**

European Union **14th December**
Brexit Summit

UK Parliament **21st December**
Christmas recess starts

2018

Bulgaria takes over **1st January**
EU Council Presidency

UK Parliament **8th January**
Returns after recess

Austria takes over **1st July**
EU Council Presidency

2019

Romania takes over **1st January**
EU Council Presidency

USEFUL WEB SITES

British Constitution Group
www.britishconstitutiongroup.com

British Future
www.britishfuture.org

British Weights & Measures Assoc.
www.bwmaonline.com

Bruges Group
www.brugesgroup.com

Campaign Against Euro-Federalism
www.caef.org.uk

Campaign for an Independent Britain
www.campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk

Change Britain
www.changebritain.org

Conservatives for Britain
www.conservativesforbritain.org

Democracy Movement
www.democracymovement.org.uk

English Constitution Group
www.englishconstitutiongroup.org

EU Observer
www.euobserver.com

EU Truth
www.eutruth.org.uk

European Commission (London)
www.cec.org.uk

European Foundation
www.europeanfoundation.org

Freedom Association
www.tfa.net

Futurus
www.futurus-thinktank.com

Get Britain Out
www.getbritainout.org

Global Britain
www.globalbritain.org

Global Vision
www.global-vision.net

GrassRootsOut
www.grassrootsout.co.uk

June Press (Publications)
www.junepress.com

Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign
www.lesc.org.uk

Leave.eu
www.Leave.eu

New Alliance
www.newalliance.org.uk

Open Europe
www.openeurope.org.uk

Sovereignty
www.sovereignty.org.uk

Stawatch
www.stawatch.org

The Taxpayers’ Alliance
www.taxpayersalliance.com

United Kingdom Independence Party
www.ukip.org

Seizing the moment

by John Ashworth. **£4.00**

The opportunities for UK fisheries after Brexit with the restoration of the 200nm/midline resources zone.

Germany's Fourth Reich

by Harry Beckhough. **£8.00**

Code-breaker and spy explains the real drive by Germany for control over Europe without war.

**The Magic of Banking
The Coming Collapse**

by Godfrey Bloom. **£7.00**

This clear look into the current banking system exposes the upcoming crisis.

What it Will Look Like

How leaving the EU and the Single Market can be made to work for Britain
by the Bruges Group. **£5.00**

Addressing the main concerns this report shows a clear route out of the EU.

**Britain's Referendum Decision
and its Effects**

by Stephen Bush. **£8.99**

Clear facts that explain the dangers we face inside or outside the EU.

The Democratic Imperative

by Robert Corfe. **£12.99**

Why democracy is only possible in a nation state.

Clean Brexit

Why Leaving The EU Still Makes Sense
Building a post Brexit economy for all
by Liam Halligan & Gerard Lyons.
£20.00

Economists explain why the UK should embrace Brexit and the benefits that will flow for the UK and Europe.

A Doomed Marriage

Why Britain Should Leave the EU
by Daniel Hannan. **£8.99**

Without EU membership, the UK can become the most successful nation.

**The UK's liabilities to the financial
mechanisms of the European Union**

by Bob Lyddon. **£8.00**

How the UK's exposure to the EU is over £80 billion and maybe more.

**Britain Votes To Leave,
What Happens Next**

by Ian Milne. **£2.50**

A fictional letter from the UK Prime Minister to the EU after a referendum decision to leave with detailed ideas.

**Brexit Revolt: How The UK
Voted To Leave The EU**

by Michael Mosbacher &
Oliver Wiseman. **£10.00**

How the idea of leaving the EU took root and the battle of ideas and egos that went on before and during the campaign.

The Market Solution -FLEXCIT

by Dr Richard E, North. **£5.00**

How the UK can leave the EU, through an orderly, plausible and practical way, that is practically risk-free.

Spyhunter

by Michael Shrimpton. **£25.00**

A fascinating alternative view of history, including the EU, exposes the secret world of German intelligence.

A Life Most Ordinary

by Ken Wight. **£7.99**

A UKIP activist reveals how his life in Slough has changed over the last 60 years, especially with the rise of the EU.

British History

by Hugh Williams. **£15.00**

An illustrated guide to a history of Britain from before Roman times to the present day House of Windsor.

Send payment to

**THE JUNE PRESS LTD
PO BOX 119
TOTNES, DEVON TQ9 7WA**

Tel: 08456 120 175
Email: info@junepress.com

WEB SALES www.junepress.com

**PLEASE ADD 10% P&P (UK ONLY)
20% for Europe 30% Rest of World**

eurofacts

SUBSCRIBE TODAY

RATES

UK	£30
Europe (Airmail)	£42/€50
Rest of World	£55/\$95
Reduced rate (UK only)	£20

Reduced rate for senior citizens, students & unemployed only.
Subscriptions alone do not cover costs so we are also seeking donations.

Please send me the monthly *eurofacts* and the occasional papers.

I enclose my annual payment of £.....
to *eurofacts*: PO Box 119
Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA

Name

Address

.....

.....

Postcode

Date

Please print clearly in capital letters

FOR "EU"

European Commission	020 7973 1992
European Movement	020 7940 5252
Federal Trust	020 7735 4000

AGAINST "EU"

Britain Out	01403 741736
British Weights & Measures Assoc.	01738 783936
Business for Britain	0207 3406070
CIB	0116 2874 622

Conservativesforbritain
www.conservativesforbritain.org

Democracy Movement	020 7603 7796
Freedom Association	0845 833 9626
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign	020 7691 3800

New Alliance	020 7385 9757
Fishing Association	01224 313473

CROSS PARTY THINK TANKS

British Future	www.britishfuture.org
Bruges Group	020 7287 4414
Global Britain	www.globalbritain.org
Global Vision	www.global-vision.net
Open Europe	0207 197 2333

POLITICAL PARTIES

Conservative	020 7222 9000
Rt Hon Mrs Theresa May MP	
English Democrats	01277 896000
Robin Tilbrook (Chairman)	
Green Party	020 7272 4474
Caroline Lucas MP and Jonathan Bartley	
Labour	020 7783 1000
Jeremy Corbyn MP	
Liberal	01562 68361
Mr Rob Wheway	
Liberal Democrats	020 7222 7999
Rt Hon Sir Vince Cable	
UK Independence Party	01626 831290
Henry Bolton OBE	

ISSN 1361-4134

