

The battle commences

While the established powers that be call to stay in the European Union, others are starting the battle to leave.

The following new organisations are united in one cause, that of fighting for the UK to leave the EU in the upcoming EU Referendum.

Business for Britain

Comments from their web site:
www.businessforbritain.org

Business for Britain exists to give a voice to the large, but often silent, majority among Britain's business community who want to see fundamental changes made to the terms of our EU membership. We are independent and non-partisan, involving people from all parties and no party. As a campaign, we aim to reflect the views of our business signatories, and the campaign is represented in the media and at events by people with real business experience. Business for Britain will ensure that the British people understand that many UK business people want a better deal from Brussels and are not scared to fight to achieve that change.

45% - COST OF SINGLE MARKET
By 46% – 37% British business leaders say the costs of complying with the Single Market outweigh the benefits of being in the EU.

66% - EU REFERENDUM

Two-thirds of Britain's business people support holding a referendum on our membership of the EU.

56% - MEANINGFUL CHANGE

By 56% – 23% British business leaders believe that 'meaningful change' of our EU relationship requires treaty change.

Conservatives for Britain

Comments from their web site:
www.conservativesforbritain.org

Conservatives for Britain is a new campaign group to represent the large number of Conservative supporters who want to see fundamental change in Britain's relationship with the European Union.

We consider the UK's current relationship with the European Union to be untenable. We have every confidence in the Prime Minister's ability to negotiate a better deal.

However, if the EU establishment is not willing to allow a new relationship to form between the UK and the EU, based on trade and co-operation rather than political union, we are willing to consider how to prepare for a future 'NO' campaign. We believe a credible prospect of exit is necessary to backstop any renegotiation and will help to provide it.

We take an optimistic globalist view of Britain's future and we believe that, if sufficient reform is not delivered in the renegotiation, Britain could both increase its global influence and be economically successful outside an unreformed EU.

Leave.eu

Comments from their web site:
www.leave.eu

On Democracy and Law

Leaving the EU would give us more control of our own laws.

* Brussels, Luxembourg and Strasbourg are responsible for more than half of the UK's laws.

* British MEPs voted against 576 EU proposals between 2009 and 2014, but 485 still passed and became law.

* UK influence inside the EU is dwindling. We currently have 9.5% of the votes in the EU Parliament - when we first joined, we had almost 20%.

* The top 100 EU regulations cost the UK economy £33.3 bn per year. Since 2010, the EU has introduced over 3,500 new laws affecting British business.

On Global Influence

Leaving the EU would give the UK more global influence not less.

* With less than a 10% share of the vote in the EU legislatures, the UK's ability to influence EU policy is limited. An independent UK would therefore exert greater international influence.

* The UK's overall diplomatic reach would remain strong - we would continue to be a major contributor to NATO, and would hold a permanent seat at the UN Security Council (in addition to our fundamental roles in the OECD, the G8, the G20, the P5, and the Commonwealth).

Leaving the EU would give Britain its own seat at the World Trade Organisation rather than being represented by the EU

On Global Trade

Leaving the EU would give the UK the freedom to make its own global trade deals.

* The EU is in economic decline with a relatively shrinking and ageing population. When Britain joined in

Continued on page 2

The battle commences

Continued from page 1

1973, the EEC accounted for 37% of world GDP. Today it only accounts for 20%.

On Further Integration

Leaving the EU is a less risky option than staying in.

* One of the core objectives of the European project is a drive towards 'ever closer union'. This tends to take priority over differing economic needs and realities

On Migration

Leaving the EU would give us back

control of our borders.

* The UK is currently obliged to accept all persons entering from Europe regardless of skill levels.

On Money

Leaving the EU would mean more money in your pocket.

The demographic problem for Europe

The planned destruction of European ethnicity, ancestry and identity

M.M. Henderson

The late President Roosevelt affirmed ... 'Whatever happens in politics, you may be sure that there is someone who wanted it to happen and **made** it happen'

The late President's affirmation suggests that there are few *unintended* consequences in world politics. Critics of Western military aggression in the Middle East and elsewhere, condemn Western governments for their lack of planning for the post-war governance of these countries which Western aggression has destroyed....under the mendacious falsehood of ... '*establishing democracy*'.

When civil society and social services in Iraq, Afganistan, Libya and parts of Syria (and in many parts of Africa) have been utterly destroyed by Western aggression, un-numbered millions in these countries who have survived the onslaught, are bound to become refugees. Significantly, there are refugees from terror, the Palestinians, who are disallowed any attempt of escape, being absolute prisoners in their own land.

In Europe and the United States of America, the flood of refugees into these continents must necessarily, in the course of time, dilute the distinctive physical character of the white, Caucasian populations in these two

continents. Moreover, the influx of Islamic people into Europe must inevitably dilute what remains of the Christian tradition in Europe.

When a former President of the USA, Bill Clinton, opined several years ago, that he welcomed the reality that the white population of that country would soon be in the minority, one can only assume that the demise of the white, Christian people, with their distinctive, civilising characteristics was planned.

During the brutal UN sponsored attack in Serbia in 1998, Britain's Prime Minister, Mr Blair informed the world.... '**This is a conflict we are fighting, not for territory but for a new internationalism**' ... (the territory of Kosovo was taken from the serbs anyway and its considerable wealth given to a private international business consortia). The American general in command of UN forces said of the conflict.... '**There is no place in modern Europe for ethnically pure states. We are trying to transition into the twenty first century: we are doing it with multi-ethnic states**'.

The new *internationalism* indicates a diminution and then abolition of nationalism, which is in itself, a desire by a people to maintain its own distinctive traditions, ethnic purity and

historical heritage.... and *Self government*. (Scottish nationalism is in fact, fake and simply international socialism because it wishes to break free from a traditional, three hundred year old union of ethnically identical and socially entwined people of a common heritage, and become a small and impotent vassal of a foreign and alien conglomerate of vastly differing people (EU), bent on being governed by the nascent world government.

Internationalism is the very antithesis of free, democratic society. Internationalism requires a world jurisdiction...a world government in other words wherein democracy has been abolished. World government must necessarily entail the destruction of the national franchise. World government must mean ultimate enslavement under a caucus of supremely powerful men.

The chaos of war throughout the world and the vast, increasing ebb and flow of stateless refugees, the consequent dilution of host nations' identity by incoming refugees, weakens opposition to internationalism until the people of the world become an amorphous, disenfranchised subservient mass, divested of the will to resist pitiless tyranny of which the European Union is the vehicle.

Eurozone's latest results

Deflation returned to the countries of the Eurozone in September as prices fell at an annual rate of 0.1%.

This is the first time that inflation

has turned negative in the last six months, with an 8.9% fall in the price of energy largely responsible for the decline.

The Eurostat statistics agency also said that the August unemployment rate in the Eurozone was unchanged at 11%.

The 1963 Franco-German Treaty of the Elysée

Global Britain Briefing Note No 106 dated 4th September 2015

On the 22nd January 1963, just eight days after de Gaulle's magisterial Paris press conference in which he rejected Prime Minister Macmillan's application to join the EEC, General de Gaulle, President of France and Dr Konrad Adenauer, Chancellor of the Federal Republic of Germany, signed the Treaty of the Elysée.

The Treaty is now (2015) 52 years old. It was - and still is - a bi-lateral treaty between France and Germany, outside and independent of the then six-year-old EEC. Although the text of the treaty makes passing references to the then "European Communities" and the "Common Market" and "European political cooperation", it also refers to East-West relations in general, NATO, the Council of Europe, the (now defunct) Western European Union, the OECD and "the United Nations and its specialized institutions".

The 'text' is quite short, and begins with a Joint Declaration, the key part of which states that "*a reinforcing of cooperation between the two countries an indispensable stage on the way to a united Europe...*".

The treaty¹ sets out main areas of cooperation: Foreign Affairs, Defence, Education and Youth. The Heads of State and Government of France and Germany are to meet at least once a year. The two Foreign Ministers are to meet at least every three months, and their officials every month, alternately in Paris and Bonn (then the capital of Germany). The Defence Ministers and the Education Ministers are to meet at least every three months, and their officials every two months. Although not spelt out in the treaty itself, a

number of civil servants from each country are to be temporarily "embedded" in the others' ministries for long periods.

Paragraph 5 of the chapter on Foreign Affairs says that the two Governments "*will study jointly the means of reinforcing their cooperation in agricultural and forestry, energy...communications and transport and industrial development within the framework of the Common Market....*".

The second section of the treaty's last chapter, "Final Arrangements", states that "*The two governments will keep member-governments of the European Communities informed of the development of Franco-German cooperation*". Translation: "we'll decide and tell you afterwards". Were eyebrows raised in the Foreign Office when they read that?

The significance of the Treaty today

It is clear from the text of the 1963 agreement that France and Germany were - and are - treaty bound to "stitch-up" the rest of the EEC/EU to suit their own interests and objectives. So it has turned out. Franco-German solidarity - for better or worse - continues to be operational.

Half a century on, the dynamics of the Franco-German relationship have inevitably changed. In the 1960's France was clearly the senior partner, a nuclear power with a growing economy and, not least, an outstanding leader. Germany was divided by the Iron Curtain from East Germany and Berlin. Today, a re-united Germany, the most powerful economy in Europe, is

more influential than France.

Meanwhile, as the EU's structures "tighten", Europe's and the EU's economic, military and diplomatic "weight" in world affairs is proportionately diminishing. Fifty years on, English has become the global *lingua franca*. You are more likely to come across a French student serving coffee in Melbourne than in Mannheim, and a German girl *au pairing* in Dallas than in Dijon. Happily for this generation, the Second World War is as remote as Waterloo. And - *pourvu que ç a duré*² - the British economy is performing well.

The sort of reformed, looser EU that the British political class affects to believe is available is a constantly-receding mirage. There is no such "Europe". As German and French governments continue to make clear, their goal continues to be a united Europe, achieved primarily through the mechanism of the single currency, the euro, with a single budget and a single government. That is still the plan. That is still the aim. In terms of its ability to "shape the Europe" the UK was never going to be more than a distant third behind Germany and France, and so it has turned out. The case for London - and Washington - to look reality in the face and act accordingly is stronger than ever.

References

- 1) www.cfr.org/franco-german-jointdeclaration-elysee-treaty-january-1963
- 2) "provided it lasts" - attributed to Napoleon's mother on being told of another conquest.

Foreign born

In 2014 the percentage of people living in the UK that had been born abroad was reported to be 13%. This resulted in the foreign-born population

level of 8.3 million.

Immigration Minister James Brokenshire called the rise "deeply disappointing".

Nigel Farage and others have not used such kind words.

The levels for 2015 are expected to be considerably higher.

Greeks “dangerously close to freedom and democracy

Rodney Atkinson

It has always been hilarious to listen to those eurofanatics (who have brought much of Europe to its democratic annihilation and economic collapse) warning the Greeks that they are “dangerously close” – as one EU official said – to exit from the very thing which has destroyed them.

We have chronicled the collapse of Greece, Spain and other Mediterranean countries over recent years and pointed to the social disintegration, financial destruction and the break down of health systems, the rise in child malnutrition and the inevitable rise of far left and far right parties as desperate peoples turn – as they did in 1930’s Germany – from the buffoonish corporatist “centre parties” and their supranational allies to political extremes. Those extremes were created by so called democrats. Syrzia in Greece was created by the Eurozone and its magnificently unconcerned leader, Angela Merkel.

Just as Greece’s debt problems started with its membership of the Euro so its devastating suicide rate took off as austerity was imposed by Euro commissars in 2011. From a recent academic study:

In 30 years, the highest months of suicide in Greece occurred in 2012. The passage of new austerity measures in June 2011 marked the beginning of significant, abrupt and sustained increases in total suicides (+35.7%) and male suicides (+18.5%).

Suicides by men in Greece also underwent a significant, abrupt and sustained increase in October 2008 when the Greek recession began (+13.1%) and an abrupt but temporary increase in April 2012 following a public suicide committed in response to austerity conditions (+29.7%).

Suicides by women in Greece also underwent an abrupt and sustained increase in May 2011 following

austerity-related events (+35.8%).

In Greece I know from personal friends that there is after years of social degradation, economic suffering and family bankruptcy also a gnawing psychological suffering which brings discord between friends. As my friend writes:

“The continuous crisis really tears at the nerves. There are no more conversations with friends which do not centre on “the crisis” and we have to be careful that we don’t endanger our friendships as tempers flare and sometimes great gulfs in opinion emerge”.

Similar gulfs would have opened up long before now (and they will soon) between the nations in the Eurozone, between the European Central bank and the IMF, between Germany and France and between the Northern Euro-states and the mediterranean countries.

The IMF has been particularly corrupted by this crisis since its tradition is to apply a formula for debtors which involves sacrifice initially but then a chance to recover by devaluing their currency, promoting exports and inhibiting imports. But this they could not do with any Eurozone country because the Euro fixes an (alien) currency for all members which cannot be freed. All the suffering must be by “internal devaluation” (lower wages, lower prices, lower welfare, lower State spending) which is death to a country with massive internal and external debts like the Greeks.

But the IMF has also been nobbled. It was unfortunately George Osborne the British Chancellor of the Exchequer who supported the French eurofanatic and former French cabinet Minister Christine Lagarde as head of the IMF. (It was one of her underlings, Olivier Blanchard, also French, who not long ago attacked British economic

policy saying it was a recipe for disaster – just before the UK economy made a dramatic recovery, far healthier than any Euro-zone country!

Lagarde, contradicting all IMF tradition and experience of rescuing indebted countries, acted as a Euro-corporatist interloper in the IMF and went along with the German and European Central bank’s attack on the Greeks whose massive increased debts (at least from 2010) were designed not to save Greece but to – temporarily – save the Euro.

The ECB by imposing those debts on Greece and demanding even more austerity from a country already in a debt deflation spiral has been forced to take on more and more liabilities – as well as forcing other Eurozone countries (many of them scarcely better off than the Greeks) to lend to the Greeks money which they will never be able to repay.

Poor countries like Estonia, Slovakia, Spain, Malta and Italy have exposures of about 4% of GDP each. At the moment these are liabilities not losses and so can be disguised to a certain extent from those countries’ voters! In addition the massive quantitative easing by the ECB is further disguising the true liabilities of Euro member states. But when (not if) Greece defaults these losses will be realised and evident!

A lot of Eurofanatic politicians will be exposed.

The ECB itself could not survive a Greek collapse, having spent 138 billion euros on rescuing Greek banks and being the lead in exposing its member states to 331 billion euros of Greek debt.

Rodney Atkinson is an author and political economist, formerly a lecturer at the University of Mainz, Germany.

BOOK REVIEW

How to exit the EU

Derek Stirling

In this latest book by Rodney Atkinson, he looks into the fascist elements in post war Europe and the development of the European Union.

He covers a number of interesting subjects: Free nations - British Democracy - Nazism, The constructors of Europe including their embarrassing pasts and ideas - Regionalism - The Vatican - The Charlemagne Prize - Yugoslavia - Kosovo - Bosnia - The Lisbon Treaty and the German aims and behaviour in Europe,

In his conclusion he cites that, "The critical loss of the sovereign United Kingdom, its parliament and democracy was in the provisions of the 1971 Treaty of Accession to the European Economic Community (and all judgements of the European Court

And Into The Fire
by Rodney Atkinson

Amazon Books
Paperback 157pp 2015

Available from
Amazon
Price £5.85

ISBN 978-1-882383-34-4

prior to 1971), put into UK law by the 1972 Act of Parliament". Furthermore, that the "infamous Section 2 of that Act surrendered the crucial constitutional power about which the 'Kilmuir letter' (from the then Lord Chancellor to Heath) had warned would be unprecedented".

The evidence he presents is both thought provoking and scary at the same time adding to this is a quote from Martin Howe QC "If we remain subject to the Community law, and to the European Court's interpretation of the Treaties, no agreement or treaty defining or limiting the powers of Europe can be safely relied upon - simply because it will be reinterpreted by the Court, over time, to expand those powers again".

Accordingly he believes there is only one answer for the residents of the UK that wish to reside in a truly democratic nation, and that is to put a Bill before Parliament to achieve that objective namely the British Declaration of Independence. This declaration is clearly spelled out in his book.

EU budget to rise again

The constant increase in European Union membership fees will continue long after the UK referendum on 'In' or 'Out'

The EU is yet again attempting to increase the amount of money it extracts from the small number of contributors to the EU budget.

A proposal by MEPs on the European Parliament's budget committee to rise the 2016 agreed level of 142.1 billion euros to 146.5 billion euros, the level is well above the original 144.7 billion euros that the Commission had asked for. If passed the UK would have to pay an additional £384 million of UK taxpayers money according to observers.

The committee has proposed a budget of €157.4 bn. in commitments, this is €4.4 bn. more than previously agreed by member states' ministers as well as the €146.5 bn. in payments.

Included is €1.2 bn to help EU agencies manage the arrival and transfer of the refugees and migrants. A

further €26 million for funding and staffing of those agencies mainly dealing with the influx; Frontex, the border agency, Europol, the European police college, Eurojust the EU's judicial cooperation agency and others.

This constant rise in the costs needed to run the EU institutions will make many people believe that this is a further reason for the UK to exit the EU at the earliest opportunity.

In 2013 Cameron fought against a proposed large increase in the EU budget for 2014-2020 and appeared to have won, but clearly in EU negotiations nothing especially financial contributions is really fixed, it will always be amended upwards.

The EU unlike any other country or company has still failed to produce an acceptable set of audited accounts over almost 20 years. So much for open and honest democratic accountability.

The MEPs on the committee have cited the refugee crisis (naturally) and help to dairy farmers as well as the Greek situation as the reason for the increase. Interestingly every year they manage to find a logical reason to increase the EU budget and we are sure that will continue.

This proposal will be voted on in the EP plenary session at the end of October and will then do the rounds of the member states. The result of which will probably result in a slightly lower increase being agreed, that will then be sold to the taxpayers of the member states especially the UK as a victory for common sense.

The aim is to reach an agreement by mid-November, the final vote will then be made by Qualified Majority Voting (QMV), so the United Kingdom will just have to accept the outcome as it has no opt-out or veto.

LETTERS

Tel: 08456 120 175 email: eurofacts@junepress.com

Scottish woes

Dear Sir,

During much of the debate about Independence for Scotland a lot was made about the income from the North Sea oil going to support the Scottish economy after independence.

Since this debate the value of North Sea oil revenue has dropped substantially. In the first 3 months of 2015 the revenue was down 75% on the previous quarter according to the Scottish conservatives.

The Scottish government's quarterly national accounts showed that the amount received in tax receipts between January and March was £168 million.

This was down from £742 million in the final 3 months of 2014.

Finance Minister John Swinney said oil was a bonus not the basis of the economy.

Luckily for us in Scotland they stayed in the UK and therefore, they do not have to depend on North Sea oil revenue for their economic stability but can depend on the UK government.

RICHARD SIMONS
Edinburgh

EU to change deficit rules?

Dear Sir,

Will the refugee crisis results in a change for the deficit rules in the eurozone?

Already we hear that the Austrian finance minister Hans Joerg Schelling has urged the EU to relax its deficit rules towards governments like Germany and Austria that need to boost their spending to house refugees. He told the German newspaper *Welt am Sonntag* that, "Brussels should consider exemptions for such spending under its EU deficit rules".

Should the criteria be changed throughout the Eurozone in order to incorporate the number of refugees that Germany and France have forced upon its so called partners, then the result in a few years time could easily cause another problem for the stability of the Eurozone.

MRS PAULINE DAVIS
Coventry

Inward investment

Dear Sir,

Despite the promised referendum on the UK's membership of the EU, inward investment continues.

The threat often used if the UK left the EU is that inward investment would suffer, but why should it?

Unlike the fortress EU investment in the UK with its open approach to business world wide offers greater rewards than investment inside the EU.

The world population is far greater than that of EU and therefore offers a larger selling market which allows for a better possible return on investment.

Japanese car manufacturer Nissan clearly aware of the UK/EU situation has announced plans to invest £100 million in its UK plant to build the new Juke model.

This will secure thousands of jobs at the Sunderland factory, which already produces the current model of the Juke and electric Leaf models.

GEORGE MITCHELL

Manchester

Poetry

Get Out

Hitler's ghost must be laughing

And so must De Gaulle,
At poor old "Great" Britain,
Watch her crumble and fall.

As Euro Barons in Brussels,
"Set up" all the rules,

We sell out without fighting,
Are we really such fools?

Hand over our fishing -
Let them come up the beach!
Our fruit trees, our steelworks,

There's naught out of reach,
The hanker for oil,

And they "take" us for cash,
It's just unbelievable

They are so brash.

Oh Brits, You're in danger
Can't you hear old Drake's Drum?

Let's get out of this mess
Get off your Knees - And your Bum!

by June Leggott

The EU flight passenger name record proposal

The EU council is considering a bill steered through parliament by Timothy Kirkhope MEP, a British conservative, that would allow the personal details of many millions of people who take commercial flights in the EU to be retained for up to 5 years.

The proposed "EU Passenger Name Record" (EU PNR) would retain 19 pieces of passenger information including names, contact details,

means of payment, travel dates, luggage details and much more.

This information would then be available on a searchable data base to security officials, police (including Europol) and who knows who else. It is suggested that the names will be anonymised after 30 days, but still available if necessary.

Civil rights organisations believe that the EU PNR could mean that travellers are turned into suspects for a

crime they have no knowledge of.

The European Court of Justice rejected a similar proposal put forward in 2014.

Giovanni Buttarelli, the European data protection supervisor is reported as saying, "No elements reasonably substantiate the need for the default collection of massive information of millions of travellers".

No details have been supplied to explain why this is necessary!

MEETINGS

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Tuesday **20th October**, 6.00 pm

“Should we trust computers”

Martyn Thomas, *IT Livery Company*
Professor of Information Technology

PUBLIC MEETING
Museum of London, London Wall,
London EC2

Admission Free

Bruges Group
020 7287 4414

Tuesday **20th October**, 7.00 - 8.30pm

Philip Davies MP
Professor David Myddelton
Rt Hon Owen Paterson MP

PUBLIC MEETING
Royal Over-Seas League, Royal Over-
Seas House, 6 Park Place, St James’s
Street, London SW1A 1LR
Admission charge £10

UK Independence Party
01626 831290

Friday **23rd October**, 7.30 pm

“Say NO to the EU tour”

Nigel Farage MEP
UKIP Local MEP

PUBLIC MEETING
Liberty Stadium, Landore, Swansea
SA1 2FA
Admission £1

The Freedom Association
01242 235333

Monday **26th October**, 6.30 pm

The McWhirter Memorial Lecture

Charles Moore

MEMORIAL LECTURE
Captain’s Lounge, HMS President,
London moored on Thames by
Blackfriar’s Bridge
Admission £30

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Tuesday **27th October**, 6.00 pm

*“The 2015 Strategic Defence and
Security Review and its Implications”*

**The Rt Hon Lord Robertson of Port
Ellen**, *Former UK Defence Secretary
and Secretary-General of NATO*

PETER NAILOR MEMORIAL
LECTURE ON DEFENCE
Mercer’s Hall, Ironmonger Lane,
London
Admission Free
(Reservations required)

The Economic Research Council

Monday **2nd November**, 6.30 pm

“Another Economic Storm Ahead?”

Sir Vince Cable, *Former Secretary of
State for Business, Innovation and
Skills.*

PUBLIC MEETING
Royal Over-Seas League, Royal Over-
Seas House, 6 Park Place, St James’s
Street, London
Admission by ticket
(£20 contact www.ercouncil.org)

Bruges Group
020 7287 4414

Saturday **21st November**,
10.30 am - 6.15 pm

*“The Referendum Choice: Who
Governs Britain?”*

Speakers to include:
Sir Bill Cash MP
Kelvin Hopkins MP
Peter Lilley MP
Ewen Stewart, *Global Britain*
Lord Tebbit of Chingford

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE
Royal Over-Seas League, Royal Over-
Seas House, 6 Park Place, St James’s
Street, London SW1A 1LR
Admission charge £20
(Includes various refreshments and
lunch)

UK Independence Party
01626 831290

Dates to be announced

“Say NO to the EU tour”

Nigel Farage MEP
UKIP Local MEP

PUBLIC MEETING
Various address
Admission charge to be announced

FREE
Advertising Space

Should you be planning a meeting
and/or conference dealing with the sub-
ject of UK-EU relations we may be
able to advertise the event without
charge.

Contact Details

eurofacts Phone: 08456 120 175

or Email: eurofacts@junepress.com

DIARY OF EVENTS

UK Parliament **17th December**
Commons Recess Starts

UK Parliament **22nd December**
Lords Recess Starts

2016

Netherlands takes over **1st January**
EU Council Presidency

UK Parliament **5th January**
Commons Recess Ends

UK Parliament **11th January**
Lords Recess Ends

Slovakia takes over **1st July**
EU Council Presidency

2017

Malta takes over **1st January**
EU Council Presidency

UK takes over **1st July**
EU Council Presidency

The EU: A Corporatist Racket

by David Barnby. **£9.99**

How the EU was created by global corporatism, he includes details about Edward Heath's real involvement.

Germany's Fourth Reich

by Harry Beckhough. **£8.00**

Code-breaker and spy explains the real drive by Germany for control over Europe without war. Re-produced from his original 2008 pamphlet.

A Dinosaur's Guide to Libertarianism

by Godfrey Bloom. **£9.99**

Why we are governed in a hopelessly inefficient corrupt and incompetent way.

Europe: In or Out?

by David Charter. **£8.99**

In short, concise chapters, the *Times* Berlin correspondent, explains the pros and cons of being part of the EU.

How much does the EU cost Britain?

by Tim Congdon. **£6.00**

Congdon finds the annual direct cost of EU membership to be £13 billion or 1% of GDP is just the tip of the iceberg.

The Democratic Imperative

by Robert Corfe. **£12.99**

The reality of power relations in the nation state and why democracy is only possible in a nation state.

Zero Plus: The Principles of EU Renegotiation

by Martin Howe QC. **£8.00**

Why Britain must be in a position to withdraw from the EU if its priorities are not met.

Generations Betrayed

Cutting the Roots of our National Identity.

by Chris McGovern. **£1.50**

A short booklet exposing how school education has been altered to remove parts of history and national identity.

Top 10 Reasons to Leave the EU

by John Petley. **£9.99**

A short explanation about the main issues clearly and succinctly spelled out. Furthermore, it details the benefits of regaining independence.

Everything You Wanted To Know About the EU

But Were Afraid To Ask

by Robert Oulds. **£9.99**

All the questions answered and the problems of EU membership explained.

Too 'nice' to be Tories?

by Anthony Scholefield & Gerald Frost. **£10.00**

How the modernisers damaged the party and why they forgot their true beliefs and drove away many of their voters.

Spyhunter

by Michael Shrimpton. **£25.00**

A fascinating alternative view of history, including the EU, exposes the secret world of German intelligence.

The 'Dispossessed', the 'Never Possessed' and the 'Bastards'

by Luke Stanley. **£5.00**

This book shows the reasons why some MPs rebelled and others did not about the EU and points a way to the future.

Britain's Global Leadership

by Ewen Stewart. **£5.00**

Why membership of the EU is holding back the UK in terms of growth and trade with the wider prosperous world.

DVD - The Norway Option

by Bruges Group. **£12.99**

Full analysis, run time 34 mins.

Send payment to

**THE JUNE PRESS LTD
PO BOX 119
TOTNES, DEVON TQ9 7WA**

Tel: 08456 120 175
Email: info@juneypress.com

WEB SALES www.juneypress.com

**PLEASE ADD 10% P&P (UK ONLY)
20% for Europe 30% Rest of World
FULL BOOKLIST AVAILABLE**

eurofacts

SUBSCRIBE TODAY

RATES

UK	£30
Europe (Airmail)	£42/€50
Rest of World	£55/\$95
Reduced rate (UK only)	£20

Reduced rate for senior citizens, students & unemployed only.

Subscriptions alone do not cover costs so we are also seeking donations.

Please send me the monthly *eurofacts* and the occasional papers.

I enclose my annual payment of £.....
to **eurofacts: PO Box 119
Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA**

Name

Address

.....

.....

Postcode

Date

Please print clearly in capital letters

FOR "EU"

European Commission	020 7973 1992
European Movement	020 7940 5252
Federal Trust	020 7735 4000

AGAINST "EU"

Britain Out	01403 741736
British Weights & Measures Assoc.	01738 783936
Business for Britain	0207 3406070
CIB	0116 2874 622
Conservativesforbritain	www.conservativesforbritain.org
Democracy Movement	020 7603 7796
Freedoms Association	0845 833 9626
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign	020 7691 3800
Leave.eu	www.Leave.eu
New Alliance	020 7385 9757
Fishing Association	01224 313473

CROSS PARTY THINK TANKS

Bruges Group	020 7287 4414
Global Britain	Email: globalbritain-1@globalbritain.org
Global Vision	www.global-vision.net
Open Europe	0207 197 2333

POLITICAL PARTIES

Conservative	020 7222 9000
Rt Hon David Cameron MP	
English Democrats	01277 896000
Robin Tilbrook (Chairman)	
Green Party	020 7272 4474
Natalie Bennett	
Labour	020 7783 1000
Jeremy Corbyn MP	
Liberal	01562 68361
Mr Rob Wheway	
Liberal Democrats	020 7222 7999
Tim Farron MP	
New Britain	020 7247 2524
Mr Dennis Delderfield	
UK Independence Party	01626 831290
Nigel Farage MEP	

ISSN 1361-4134



9 771361 413006