

## Britain and the EU if “Out”, how?

*Article based on Global Britain Briefing Note 85*

Legally, once the UK triggers the Lisbon Treaty’s Article 50, the EU treaties (after a short delay) cease to apply. Forty years’ worth of EU regulation would however remain embedded in domestic British law until the British Parliament repealed the European Communities Act 1972, the main mechanism for transposing EU law into British law, and other Westminster legislation.

For the first time in the EU’s history, the Lisbon Treaty spells out Member-States’ freedom to withdraw from the Union. The withdrawal clause is Article 50 TEU. It was inserted in the Lisbon Treaty’s predecessor, the draft EU Constitution, at the request of former French President Giscard d’Estaing, with the UK in mind (though this is not set out in the treaties). To date, Article 50 is untested, since no country has ever activated it.

The Treaty of Lisbon, signed in Lisbon on 13th December 2007, is the EU’s (and therefore the UK’s) constitution. It comprises two sections, the “*Treaty on European Union*” (TEU), and the “*Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union*” (TFEU).

### A. Rights and obligations under the provisions of Article 50

\* Any Member-State has the absolute, unqualified right to withdraw from the EU at any time.

\* The notification to the EU by a Member-State of its intention to withdraw *obliges* the EU to negotiate a “*withdrawal agreement*” with the withdrawing state.

\* Furthermore, the EU is obliged to envisage the “**framework for the departing Member-State’s future relationship with the Union**”.

\* No specific time period is specified in Article 50 between the Member-State’s notification of its intention to withdraw, and the date on which the EU Treaties (i.e. TEU & TFEU) cease to apply to the withdrawing Member-State. In theory, provided the “*withdrawal agreement*” were in place, the withdrawing state could cease to be a member of the EU one day after having notified the EU of its intention to withdraw.

\* In the absence of a withdrawal agreement, Article 50 states that the Treaties will *automatically* cease to apply to the withdrawing Member-State two years after the date of notification by that Member-State of its intention to withdraw.

\* Once the Treaties “cease to apply to the withdrawing state”, that state has left the European Union – though much EU law will remain embedded in the departing state’s domestic legislation. In the case of the UK, the domestic legislation that provides the mechanism for embedding EU law in British law is the *European Communities Act 1972*, which would

be amended or repealed following British withdrawal.

\* Article 50 also provides for the possibility of the withdrawing Member-State and the European Council agreeing on a longer period than two years between notification of a Member-State’s intention to withdraw and the date on which the Treaties cease to apply to the withdrawing Member-State.

\* Article 50 is not the only part of the Lisbon Treaty which deals with the withdrawal process. Article 50 refers to a separate article, Article 218, which covers part of the process.

### B. With whom or what would a withdrawing Member-State be negotiating the withdrawal agreement?

\* Initially, the answer seems to be the Commission, which, without necessarily consulting the withdrawing Member-State, would “*submit recommendations to the Council*”. The Council would then “*authorise the opening of negotiations and nominate the (European) Union negotiator or head of the Union negotiating team*”.

\* A separate article of the Lisbon Treaty, Article 218(5) TFEU, to which Article 50 refers, says “*The Council, on a proposal by the negotiator, shall adopt a decision authorising the signing of the agreement, and, if necessary, its provisional application*”.

Continued on page 2

**INSIDE:** Cameron gets help from US p 2 – Book review - ‘A Doomed Marriage: Britain and Europe p 3 - EU treaty change? p3 – Hague’s plan p 3 – Human Rights p 3 – Germany’s growth forecast cut p 3 – Would an EU referendum help? p 4 – Greece and the IMF p 4 – EU: UK trading deficit p 5 – Labour for a referendum p 5 – UK faces court action p 5 – Africa centre of the world’s growth? p 5 – Letters p 6

# Britain and the EU if “Out”, how?

Continued from page 1

*before entry into force*”. The Lisbon Treaty does not explain what a “provisional application before entry into force” might involve in practice.

\* Following the conclusion of negotiations between the EU and the withdrawing Member-State, [Article 218(6),] the Council would have to “obtain the consent of the European Parliament”.

\* Article 218(7) specifies that “*The European Parliament and the Council may, in an urgent situation, agree on a time limit for consent.*”

## C. Arrangements for free trade with countries outside the EU

The Union’s constitutional obligation to negotiate arrangements for “free & fair” trade with states that are not members of the Union is spelt out in two other Articles of the *Treaty on European Union*:-

In The Treaty on European Union, Title I, Common Provisions, Article 3 [5] says:- *In its relations with the wider world, the Union shall uphold and promote its values and interests and contribute to the protection of its citizens. It shall contribute to peace, security, the sustainable development of the Earth, solidarity & mutual respect among peoples, free and fair trade, eradication of poverty & the protection of human rights, in particular the rights of the child, as well as to the strict observance and the development of international law, including respect for the principles of the United Nations Charter.*

In The Treaty on European Union, Title I, Common Provisions, Article 8 says:-

1. *The Union shall develop a special relationship with neighbouring countries, aiming to establish an area of prosperity and good neighbourliness, founded on the values of the Union and characterised by close and peaceful relations based on co-operation.*

2. For the purposes of paragraph 1, the Union may conclude specific agreements with the countries concerned. These agreements may contain reciprocal rights and obligations as well as the possibility of undertaking activities jointly. Their implementation shall be the subject of periodic consultation.

In Article 8 [2] above, the word “may” appears to imply that free trade could exist between the EU and other countries in the absence of “*specific [EU] agreements*”, the parties relying (for example) on the provisions of World Trade Organisation treaties.

[Note, in the text of Article 8, the word “shall”. “Shall” *obliges* the Union to “*contribute to free and fair trade*” and to “*develop a special relationship etc*”; “may” would not.]

Article 50 is in ‘Title VI, Final Provisions’ of the Treaty on European Union (TEU). It says:-

1. “Any Member-State may decide to withdraw from the Union in accordance with its own constitutional requirements.
2. A Member-State which decides to

withdraw shall notify the European Council of its intention. In the light of the guidelines provided by the European Council, the Union shall negotiate and conclude an agreement with that State, setting out the arrangements for its withdrawal, taking account of the framework for its future relationship with the Union. That agreement shall be negotiated in accordance with Article 218.3 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union. It shall be concluded by the Council, acting by a qualified majority, after obtaining the consent of the European Parliament.

3. The Treaties shall cease to apply to the State in question from the date of entry into force of the withdrawal agreement, or, failing that, two years after the notification referred to in paragraph 2, unless the European Council, in agreement with the Member State concerned, unanimously decides to extend this period.

4. For the purposes of paragraphs 2 and 3, the member of the European Council or of the Council representing the withdrawing Member-State shall not participate in the discussions of the European Council or Council or in decisions concerning it.

5. If a State which has withdrawn from the Union asks to rejoin, its request shall be subject to the procedure referred to in Article 49.

*Article 218 and further details see Global Britain Briefing Note 85 dated 3rd May 2013 (www.globalbritain.org)*

## Cameron gets help from US

The US President Barack Obama has said that the UK should try to “fix” its relationship with the EU before “breaking it off”.

Speaking alongside David Cameron at the White House in May, Obama said it was up to the British people to decide the matter but EU membership was an “expression” of the UK’s global influence.

Cameron has said his plan to renegotiate the UK’s membership in a referendum by the end of 2017 had “strong support”.

However, Cameron has put on record that after these so-called negotiations he will vote to stay-in. In other words he has no intention of making real changes.

The reason Cameron was in the US

was to help promote EU-US trade negotiations, not UK-US trade. This means he was representing the EU at UK taxpayers expense, so much for being the ‘British’ Prime Minister.

The “global influence” Obama talks about is not in the interests of the UK, as expressed by Cameron representing the face of the EU.

# A doomed marriage

Derek Sterling

This short but superb account of Britain's membership of the EU is summed up in the sub-title of MEP Daniel Hannan's book.

*'It's not just the euro crisis; it's the whole rotten scheme. It will no longer do to think of the EU as a fine idea that has been botched in the implementation. Its very basis, the merger of different nationalities within a single political system, is flawed...'*

In very plain English Hannan a pro-European sets out the reasons why from the start the project was doomed to failure and why the economic/political model cannot and is not working.

He goes on to show how true democracy can only be linked to

---

**'A Doomed Marriage:  
Britain and Europe**  
by Daniel Hannan

Notting Hill Editions  
Hdbk 113pp 2012

Available from  
The June Press  
Price £12.00 + p&p  
(see back cover)

ISBN 978-1-907903-22-9

---

nations and not to a bureaucratic elite, showing why the EU cannot and does not want to be democratic.

The disastrous idea of a single currency the euro which was forced

upon countries with very different cultures and ethics is exposed.

Hannan explains why the first instinct of a Eurocrat, in a crisis, is to reach for his wallet - or, rather for your wallet, since EU officials are exempt from paying national taxation.

Furthermore, he exposes the myth that the expansion of powers is due to the wishes of the citizens, when it is really due to the clever manipulation of so-called lobby groups.

The final part of this book shows why and how Britain is in such a unique position as to be able to stand alone from the EU. He also explains why Britain could amicably seek a different relationship with Brussels not only for Britain's sake but for the benefit of the EU as a whole.

## EU - treaty change?

The liberal leader in the European Parliament - the former Belgian prime minister - Guy Verhofstadt has called for a "new fundamental law for the EU".

In a high-profile debate in London on the EU's future Mr Verhofstadt said a new round of EU treaty change and referendums will be needed in 2015 after the European elections.

Considering it took EU politicians eight years to seal the 2009 Lisbon Treaty, we should expect any changes to instead use the EU-creep system of control that they currently employ.

## Hague's plans

William Hague, UK's Foreign Secretary wants national parliaments to be able to block laws passed in Brussels if they think EU officials are going too far.

At a policy conference outside Berlin he said a "crisis of legitimacy" is undermining EU institutions.

National parliaments are currently able to use a "yellow card" to make

the European Commission reconsider laws.

Hague would like to include a "red card" system to actually block laws passed in Brussels that go too far.

## Human Rights

Following comments by the Home Secretary, Theresa May, that the UK should consider its relationship with the Human Rights Convention, a swift put-down has been extracted.

Judge Dean Spielmann, the

president of the European Convention on Human Rights said it would be a "political disaster" if the UK pulled out of the HCHR. He also warned that this would mean leaving the 47-member Council of Europe and possibly the

EU. Furthermore, that this could undermine the UK's credibility in promoting human rights around the world.

[Maybe the threat of having to leave the EU could be seen as a bonus.-Ed]

## Germany's growth forecast cut

The Bundesbank has lowered its growth forecast for the 2013

economy to 0.3% from its previous estimate of 0.4%. Also, for 2014 a

growth of 1.5% is expected, down from its earlier estimate of 1.9%.

## EU: UK trade deficit

**Lord Vinson:** to ask Her Majesty's Government what steps they are taking to reduce and raise public awareness of, the United Kingdom's £46 billion annual trading deficit with the European Union, as set out in the Treasury Pink Book.

**Lord Popal:** My Lords, the UK's current trade balance with the rest of the EU reflects a range of factors. The recent rise in the deficit reflects the shrinking EU market, not a loss of UK market share. The UK is pursuing a range of policies - supporting measures to stabilise and revitalise the EU as well as encouraging firms to internationalise - which will boost UK trade

with the EU.

**Lord Vinson:** I thank the Minister for his very considered reply. Indeed, we must all try to export. Does he agree that our relationship with the EU is changing and that the strength of our trading position would be a key factor in any future negotiations?

Should it not be widely known that while 3 million of our jobs are reciprocal with our trade with the EU, the continuing £46 billion a year trade imbalance means that overall 4 million of its jobs rely on us? We are indeed its biggest customer. Is this not likely to lead to sensible bilateral trading arrangements similar to those that we

have with the rest of the world, as it needs us rather more than we need it?

**Lord Popal:** My Lords, our trade with the European Union, and the deficit of £46 billion, is counterbalanced by our surplus on invisible trade. Our membership of the EU is still in the best interests of the UK. It provides tariff-free access to a market that is worth around £11 trillion and has half a billion customers, and its trade with the UK enables 3.6 million employers in this country.

Written Answers - House of Lords,  
Hansard 21st May 2013.

## Labour for a referendum

The launch of Labour for a Referendum on the 4th June shows that despite the Labour leader Ed Miliband's comments about conservatives split on Europe, his own party are now in the same boat.

The new organisation, campaigning for an in-out referendum on the UK's EU membership is made up of Labour activists and supported by a number of MP's. They believe that democratically an EU referendum is the right thing to

do and that promising one would be electorally positive for their party.

The organisation is not Eurosceptic or Europhile, they just agree that a referendum is the right thing to do. Every single poll on the issue shows the public agree with them.

By promising a referendum, they hope to take the subject off the table and to make sure they don't get sidelined.

We may think that like the

Conservatives, they are making waves in an attempt to stem the UKIP tide eroding their base support.

As of last week they were supported by MP's:

Ronnie Campbell, Rosie Cooper, John Cryer, Ian Davidson, Jim Dowd, Natasha Engel, Frank Field, Roger Godsif, Kate Hoey, Kelvin Hopkins, John McDonnell, Austin Mitchell, Grahame Morris, Graham Stringer and the Rt Hon Keith Vaz.

## UK faces EU court action

The UK is being taken to the European Court of Justice (ECJ) for allegedly discriminating against EU nationals who claim social security.

This action is being taken as a result of the extra residency test applied by

the UK to see if migrants are eligible to claim social security benefit.

According to the EU the UK is discriminating against those from EU member states who have been living and working in the UK, they claim that it breaches EU law.

The Works and Pensions Secretary, Ian Duncan Smith who has the support of David Cameron, said he planned to fight the commission "every step of the way". In February, he said "Social Security and Welfare has never been in the province of the EU".

## Africa centre of world growth?

According to Japan's Prime Minister, Shinzo Abe, Africa will be an engine for world growth in the coming decades and Japan has to invest more on the continent. He was

speaking at the end of a three-day conference in Yokohama on African development.

The reason for his concern appears to be that its rival country China has

already built a strong presence in Africa.

Japan pledged £21 billion in aid to Africa including money to tackle Islamists.

# Would an EU referendum help?

*Extract of an article by Tim Congdon in the Standpoint magazine*

In his article, Congdon raises two main points about the risks involved when a referendum is called about the UK's EU membership.

"First, almost everyone accepts that, sooner or later, a referendum on the UK's membership of the EU is inevitable. No one knows whether it will be in 2014, 2017, 2021 or later, but it must happen in due course. **A key point in the article is that a vote to leave the EU may not settle the matter.** Our country has an 'elite' that has handed over the government of Britain 'to an alien bureaucracy in a foreign land' (as I describe it). That 'elite' (the three 'main' parties, the top civil servants, the heads of quangos, editors of most of the leading newspapers, and large numbers of commentators and academics) will remain in place before, during and after the referendum vote. My surmise is that – since the governing 'elite' has been in favour of the handover of the government of Britain to the EU for a long time (at least 30 or 40 years) – it would remain in favour of the continuation of this handover after a referendum vote to leave the EU.

Might the members of the 'elite' then try to obstruct the popular will? I know it might seem far-fetched to imagine the 'elite' group in a liberal democracy like Britain deliberately subverting the majority verdict of the people. Let us remember that the French and Dutch people both voted against 'the European Constitution' in 2005. But **the European 'governing elite' (I mean the European Commission and the related bureaucracies in both EU institutions and at the national level) were determined to override the French and Dutch referendum results. And**

**they did so. Within less than five years the Lisbon Treaty – which incorporated the European Constitution more or less in toto – was accepted by all member state governments and ratified by all national parliaments."**

"..... Cameron has given a commitment to hold a referendum in 2017. Really? As far as Europe is concerned, the Conservatives have always been the party of appeasement and compromise. Cameron has also made plain that the planned renegotiation is not to be about fundamentals and that he regards the UK's departure from the EU as 'madness'...."

"A high risk is that he would seek a half-way house – like the Norwegian 'solution' – in which the UK remains in the European Economic Area, incorporates much of the *acquis* in our own law and pays money to the EU 'for access to the single market'. That would be better than the full EU membership we now have, but it would be a betrayal and a mistake. **The British people deserve full independence from the dysfunctional and failing European Union. They deserve to be like the great majority of the world's population, in the 160 or so countries – including our fellow Commonwealth members, Australia, Canada and New Zealand – that do not belong to the EU at all.**

An unfortunate debate has already started about Article 50 in the Lisbon Treaty, which sets out the procedure for a nation state to leave the EU *as the EU sees the matter*. Article 50 has been described as 'the only hope' for the recovery of full British independence

from the EU. Rubbish. (I wonder if any of our colonies regarded an Act of the British Parliament as their 'only hope' for independence. Tell that to the American Tea Party! And did any nation of Eastern Europe see a treaty with the Soviet Union as 'the only hope' of quitting Comecon, the Warsaw Pact and Russian tyranny?)....."

"Article 50 is devised by the EU bureaucracy to divert us from our one and only goal, which must be full independence. (I mean full independence from the EU, just as Australia, Canada and over 150 other countries have.)"

"My second point is that UKIP does not need to hurry. The EU is – as I said – a dysfunctional failure. That will become ever more obvious as the years go by. **There is a risk that in, say, 2017 we have an Out referendum result under a government full of what one might term 'closet Europhiles'. In other words, we have an Out referendum under an In government...."**

The resulting argument of his article is addressed to the United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP) who he says must avoid deals with the other parties. The reason given is that since the main parties are pretty much the same on domestic policy and all of them want the UK to be in the EU.

As Congdon finally says "we must present the case for withdrawal – knowledgeably, in detail, and with sincerity and conviction – until we secure the full independence of our country from the European Union.

## Greece and the IMF

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) has had to admit that it made mistakes during its handling of

the first Greek international bailout.

Following all the troubles in Greece, it has said that it should have

considered a debit restructuring plan earlier and that its growth assumptions were too optimistic

# LETTERS

Tel: 08456 120 175 email: [euofacts@junepress.com](mailto:euofacts@junepress.com)

## Information is the key

Dear Sir,

The prospect of a referendum cannot excite anyone who spoke against the experiment in 1975. Its clear lesson was the British people were not interested or informed about the nature of the EU.

At present, British producers exports about thirty-one per cent less than the EU producers exports to consumers in Britain. Would they dare endanger their members' trade or that of residents in Britain? The notion is childish.

Currently, around forty per cent of EU expenditure is devoted to the Common Agricultural Policy (CAP) in the belief that this expenditure has something to do with food. The reality, however, of state subsidies to agriculture was laid bare by David Ricardo in 1817 when he explained that such subsidies, far from supporting farmers, actually went into the pockets of farmland landlords; either by an increase in the rent of tenant farmers or by gift into pocket of landowning farmers. The same point was made by Richard Cobden in the House of Commons during the Spring of 1844. In straight-forward and accurate language the CAP is fraudulent in title and operation. If repealed farmland values would fall, agricultural product would continue as before and British tax taxpayers would be about £7 billion a year richer. No party, including UKIP, or newspaper has the integrity or courage to raise this fraud over forty years. If people realised this fraud on them a referendum might come alive.

MALCOLM HILL

London

## 'Negotiating' a way out?

Dear Sir,

Although it is understandable that many who wish to leave the constitutional clutches and economic

disaster of the European Union are tempted by using Article 50 of the Lisbon Treaty such a move would be a fatal mistake.

The Lisbon Treaty article puts us completely under the control of the EU, the Commission in Brussels and the European Parliament. Anyone who has read the Article is struck by the long tortuous process of EU parliamentary approval, commission procedures, majority votes and bureaucratic confusion which it entails. The whole process would be used to procrastinate, giving the EU time to threaten and browbeat the British electorate.

As a sovereign democratic country the way out is the repeal of the British Laws which put us in - in particular the 1972 Act. That we embrace the world wide principles of free trade guaranteed by the World Trade Organisation - and indeed accepted by the European Union for those not inside it!

No one who can freely leave jail would agree to remain under the clutches of their jailer while "negotiating" a way out.

RODNEY ATKINSON

Northumberland

## What 'top table'

Dear Sir,

David Cameron has recently said that the UK should be at the "top table" of international organisations, including the EU.

He also says the UK should take part in the "global race" but stand up for its interests.

He obviously does not understand that being a member of the EU actually prevents Britain standing up for its interests. Instead, we are subjected to the interests of the EU and whatever direction in the "global race" that the EU sees fit.

Time for Cameron to get a grip!

MRS MITCHELL

Kent

## Inter-bank lending rate

Dear Sir,

We now hear that the European authorities are to propose bringing control of the London inter-bank lending rate (Libor), under the supervision of the Paris-based regulator, The European Securities and Market Authority (ESMA).

This draft regulation proposes moving the oversight of this benchmark reference, which effects the price of trillions of pounds worth of financial contracts, including loans and mortgages away from London.

As usual we hear treasury and government sources say they are "relaxed" about the plans.

In other words, the government will sit passively by while the EU takes over another important component of the City of London.

How long before they actually create a regulation to move all transactions into the heart of the EU, outside of the UK?

They can be certain our politician will complain, but for the sake of EU unity accept and pretend that it is in Britain's "global interest" or some such story.

HARRY RUTHERFORD

Birmingham

## Easy money

Dear Sir,

Why is it that even if Patrick Mercer, Minister for Europe, who has been accused of breaking lobbying rules and who has referred himself to Parliament's Standards Commissioner can remain as an MR.

I am reliably informed that even if he is found guilty, he can remain as an MR until the 2015 General Election.

Why should the taxpayer continue to fund him?

Clearly MP's have a charmed life, or is it only Minister's for Europe!

FIONA DAY

Surrey

# MEETINGS

**Gresham College**  
020 7831 0575

Monday **24th June**, 1.00 pm

*“Free Speech and State Control”*

**Professor Rodney Barker**, *Emeritus Gresham Professor of Rhetoric, The London School of Economics*

## PUBLIC MEETING

Museum of London, London Wall, London EC2

**Admission Free**

## Conservative Way Forward

Thursday **27th June** 7.00 pm

*“We are all Thatcherites now”*

## Guest Speaker:

**Rt Hon. the Lord Michael Forsyth of Drumlean**, *Former Secretary of State for Scotland*

## SUMMER DRINKS RECEPTION

Cholmondeley Room and Terrace of the House of Lords, Westminster, London

## Admission:

**Members £30, Non-members £40**  
(email: [forward@conwayfor.org](mailto:forward@conwayfor.org))

## Get Britain Out

(formerly Anti-Common Market League)  
01787 376374

Thursday **4th July**, 7.00 pm

*“The state we’re in”*

Is the UK’s real government the EU?  
and who runs the EU?

**Ashley Mote**, *Former MEP*

## PUBLIC MEETING

Westminster Arms, 9 Storey’s Gate, Parliament Square, London SW1P 3AT

**Admission Free**

## FREE - Advertising Space

For meeting/conference dealing with the subject of the UK-EU relationship.

## Contact

**Email: [eurofacts@junepress.com](mailto:eurofacts@junepress.com)**

## FRINGE MEETING CONSERVATIVE CONFERENCE

**Bruges Group**  
020 7287 4414

Monday **30th September**, 1.30 pm

**Further details and speakers to be announced**

## PUBLIC MEETING

The Great Hall, Town Hall, Albert Square, Manchester M60 2LA

**Admission Free**

**Bruges Group**  
020 7287 4414

Saturday **9th November**, 10.30 am to 6.15 pm

**Further details and speakers to be announced**

## INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

Royal Over-Seas League, Royal Over-Seas House, 6 Park Place, St James’s Street, London SW1A 1LR

**Admission charge to be announced**

## DIARY OF EVENTS

Lithuania takes over EU Council Presidency **1st July**

UK Parliament Recess starts **18th July**

UK Parliament Recess Ends **3rd September**

Lib-Dems Party Conference Glasgow **14th-18th September**

Labour Party Conference Brighton **22nd-25th September**

Conservative Party Conference Manchester **29th Sept-2nd Oct**

## 2014

Greece takes over EU Council Presidency **1st January**

European Union MEP elections **approx date June**

## USEFUL WEB SITES

### British Weights & Measures Assoc.

[www.bwmaonline.com](http://www.bwmaonline.com)

### Bruges Group

[www.brugesgroup.com](http://www.brugesgroup.com)

### Campaign Against Euro-Federalism

[www.caef.org.uk](http://www.caef.org.uk)

### Campaign for an Independent Britain

[www.freebritain.org.uk](http://www.freebritain.org.uk)

### Democracy Movement

[www.democracymovement.org.uk](http://www.democracymovement.org.uk)

### English Constitution Group

[www.englishconstitutiongroup.org](http://www.englishconstitutiongroup.org)

### EU Observer

[www.euobserver.com](http://www.euobserver.com)

### EU Truth

[www.eutruth.org.uk](http://www.eutruth.org.uk)

### European Commission (London)

[www.cec.org.uk](http://www.cec.org.uk)

### European Foundation

[www.europeanfoundation.org](http://www.europeanfoundation.org)

### European No Campaign

[www.europeannocampaign.com](http://www.europeannocampaign.com)

### EU Referendum Campaign

[www.eureferendumcampaign.com](http://www.eureferendumcampaign.com)

### Freedom Association

[www.tfa.net](http://www.tfa.net)

### Global Britain

[www.globalbritain.org](http://www.globalbritain.org)

### Global Vision

[www.global-vision.net](http://www.global-vision.net)

### June Press (Publications)

[www.junepress.com](http://www.junepress.com)

### Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign

[www.lesc.org.uk](http://www.lesc.org.uk)

### Labour for a Referendum

[www.labourforareferendum.com](http://www.labourforareferendum.com)

### New Alliance

[www.newalliance.org.uk](http://www.newalliance.org.uk)

### Open Europe

[www.openeurope.org.uk](http://www.openeurope.org.uk)

### Sovereignty

[www.sovereignty.org.uk](http://www.sovereignty.org.uk)

### Statewatch

[www.statewatch.org](http://www.statewatch.org)

### Team

[www.teameurope.info](http://www.teameurope.info)

### EU Referendum

[www.eureferendum.com](http://www.eureferendum.com)

### The People’s Pledge

[www.peoplespledge.org](http://www.peoplespledge.org)

### The Taxpayers’ Alliance

[www.taxpayersalliance.com](http://www.taxpayersalliance.com)

### United Kingdom Independence Party

[www.ukip.org](http://www.ukip.org)

**Reflections on the Revolution in Europe**

by Christopher Caldwell. **£14.99**

He makes it clear that the people never wanted mass immigration, which will result in the loss of democracy and the importation of an anti-EU culture Islam.

**'Europe' doesn't Work**

by Tim Congdon. **£3.00**

A discussion of the three-million-jobs at-risk lie and related misconceptions.

**How much does the EU cost Britain?**

by Tim Congdon. **£5.00**

A full analysis of the actual latest costs of EU membership - 2012 edition.

**Bloodless Revolution**

by Vernon Coleman. **£4.99**

Why political parties are the cause of our problems - how to remove them in a day.

**Au Revoir, Europe**

What if Britain left the EU

by David Charter. **£14.99**

The European Correspondent for *The Times* looks at what went wrong - and what happens next.

**Cracking The Whip**

by Christopher Gill. **£9.99**

Why the Conservative party is weak on the EU and therefore, puts at risk the future of the UK.

**A Doomed Marriage: Britain and Europe**

by Daniel Hannan. **£12.00**

Why the European dream that was meant to unite us, bring peace, prosperity, freedom and democracy, has failed

**Saying 'No' to the Single Market**

Foreword by Barry Legg. **£4.00**

A collection of speeches on the Single Market by Professor David Myddelton, Professor Jean-Jaques Rosa, Dr Andrew Lilico, Ian Milne and Dr Ruth Lea.

**2012 Index of Economic Freedom**

by Terry Miller, Kim R. Holmes and Edwin J. Feulner. **£20.00**

A comprehensive list of countries and their world league ratings.

**Time To Say No:**

**Alternatives to EU Membership**

by Ian Milne. **£8.00**

Ian examines the cost and implications of EU Membership and considers positive alternatives.

**Montgomery**

and the First War on terror

by Robert Oulds. **£8.99**

Detailing a little known period of Monty's career and how he fought his wars on terror. Lessons for today's war.

**EU In A Nutshell**

by Dr Lee Rotherham. **Hdbk. £12.99**

As the strap line says; Everything you wanted to know about the EU but didn't know who to ask.

**Too 'nice' to be Tories?**

How the Modernisers have damaged the Conservative Party  
by Anthony Scholefield & Gerald Frost. **£10.00**

Why they forgot their true beliefs and drove away their core voters. (2nd ed.)

**From Ur to Us**

Everything you need to know about History

by Hugh Williams. **£20.00**

A wonderful reference book for all those dates and facts you can never remember.

**Send payment to**

**THE JUNE PRESS LTD  
PO BOX 119  
TOTNES, DEVON TQ9 7WA**

Tel: 08456 120 175

Email: [info@junepress.com](mailto:info@junepress.com)

**WEB SALES [www.junepress.com](http://www.junepress.com)**

**PLEASE ADD 10% P&P (UK ONLY)  
20% for Europe 30% Rest of World**

**FULL BOOKLIST AVAILABLE**

## eurofacts

SUBSCRIBE TODAY

**RATES**

UK **£30**  
Europe (Airmail) **£42/€50**  
Rest of World **£55/\$95**  
Reduced rate (UK only) **£20**

Reduced rate for senior citizens, students & unemployed only.

Subscriptions alone do not cover costs so we are also seeking donations.

Please send me the monthly *eurofacts* and the occasional briefing papers.

I enclose my annual payment of £.....  
to **eurofacts: PO Box 119  
Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA**

Name .....

Address .....

.....

Postcode .....

Date .....

*Please print clearly in capital letters*

FOR "EU"

**European Commission 020 7973 1992**  
**European Movement 020 7940 5252**  
**Federal Trust 020 7735 4000**

AGAINST "EU"

**Britain Out 01403 741736**  
British Weights & Measures Assoc.  
020 8922 0089  
**CIB 0116 2874 622**  
Democracy Movement 020 7603 7796  
**Freedom Association 0845 833 9626**  
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign  
020 7691 3800  
**New Alliance 020 7385 9757**  
Fishing Association 01224 313473

CROSS PARTY PRESSURE GROUPS

**Congress for Democracy 01372 453678**


CROSS PARTY THINK TANKS

**Bruges Group 020 7287 4414**  
Global Britain  
Email: [globalbritain-1@globalbritain.org](mailto:globalbritain-1@globalbritain.org)  
**Global Vision www.global-vision.net**  
Open Europe 0207 197 2333

POLITICAL PARTIES

**Conservative 020 7222 9000**  
Rt Hon David Cameron MR  
**English Democrats 01277 896000**  
Robin Tilbrook (Chairman)  
**Green Party 020 7272 4474**  
Natalie Bennett  
**Labour 020 7783 1000**  
Ed Miliband MR  
**Liberal 01562 68361**  
Mr Rob Wheway  
**Liberal Democrats 020 7222 7999**  
Rt Hon Nick Clegg MR  
**New Britain 020 7247 2524**  
Mr Dennis Delderfield  
**UK Independence Party 01626 831290**  
Nigel Farage MEP

ISSN 1361-4134



9 771361 413006 1 0 >